

SCIENZE GIURIDICHE

curriculum PERSONA, MERCATO E NUOVE TECNOLOGIE

Course code	DR219
Duration	3 years
Places	1 With a scholarship funded under the Call for proposals for the financing of 30 PhD scholarships in cybersecurity for the 41st Cycle by the National Cybersecurity Agency entitled " Gestione civilistica del rischio informatico: strumenti contrattuali, assicurativi e tecnici per la mitigazione del cyber risk "
	The three-year doctoral scholarship amount is €91,165.20 gross, including expenses and expenses incurred by the recipient. This includes the research budget and the increase for study abroad. The successful student will not receive any additional scholarship increases for study abroad.
curriculum	PERSONA, MERCATO E NUOVE TECNOLOGIE
Research project	YES mandatory
Coordinator	Prof. Ferdinando Treggiari

Degrees required for admission

Master's degree in: 1/S "Antropologia culturale ed etnologia", 5/S archivistica e biblioteconomia, 18/S "Filosofia teoretica, morale, politica ed estetica", 21/S "Geografia", 22/S "Giurisprudenza", 54/S "Pianificazione territoriale urbanistica e ambientale", 60/S "Relazioni internazionali", 64/S "Scienze dell'economia", 70/S "Scienze della politica", 71/S "Scienze delle pubbliche amministrazioni", 83/S "Scienze economiche per l'ambiente e la cultura", 84/S "Scienze economico-aziendali", 88/S "Scienze per la cooperazione allo sviluppo", 89/S "Sociologia", 93/S "Storia antica", 94/S "Storia contemporanea", 96/S "Storia della filosofia", 97/S "Storia medievale", 98/S "Storia moderna", 99/S "Studi europei", 102/S "Teoria e tecniche della normazione e dell'informazione giuridica", "LMG/01 "Giurisprudenza,

Master's Degree in: LM-1 "Antropologia culturale ed etnologia", LM-5 Archivistica e biblioteconomia, LM-48 "Pianificazione territoriale urbanistica e ambientale", LM-52 "Relazioni internazionali", LM-56 "Scienze dell'economia", LM-62 "Scienze della politica", LM-63 "Scienze delle pubbliche amministrazioni", LM-64 Scienze delle religioni, LM-66

Sicurezza informatica, LM-76 “Scienze economiche per l’ambiente e la cultura”, LM-77 “Scienze economico-aziendali”, LM-78 “Scienze filosofiche”, LM-81 “Scienze per la cooperazione allo sviluppo”, LM-84 “Scienze storiche”, LM-88 “Sociologia e ricerca sociale”, LM-90 “Studi Europei”, LM/SC_scienze criminologiche applicate all’investigazione e alla sicurezza, LM/SC-GIUR Scienze giuridiche, LMG/01 “Giurisprudenza”;

Second **Degree Diploma** the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999, equated to the specialist and master's degrees indicated above, pursuant to the current regulations on equivalence for the purposes of participation in public competitions.

How to complete the selection procedure

The selection procedure will be carried out as follows:

Evaluation of qualifications and project, written test and interview (out of ninetieths: 30 + 30 + 30).

The evaluation of the qualifications, in addition to the university training path, any further training paths, professional and research experiences and any scientific publications, will also concern **a three-year research project**, developed and presented by each candidate, **focusing on a topic related to the title of the funded scholarship being put up for competition**.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 18/30

Before the written exam, the list of candidates admitted to the exam, along with their scores in the evaluation of their qualifications, will be published on the University website at <https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica>.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the evaluation of qualifications will not be admitted to the written test.

The written test will be held in person at the Department of Law of the University of Perugia.

The minimum score required to pass the written test is 18/30.

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview, along with their written exam scores, will be published on the University website at <https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica>.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the written test will not be admitted to the interview.

The interview will focus on the topics covered in the "Individual, Market, and New Technologies" curriculum (Individual Rights; Commercial and Labor Law; New Technologies Law) of the PhD program in Legal Sciences at the University of Perugia. It will also assess the candidate's aptitude for research, scientific interests, and willingness to undertake research experience abroad. To this end, the candidate is required to develop a research project proposal relevant to the topic of the scholarship, which must be submitted with the application and presented during the interview. Failure to submit the proposal will result in the candidate's application being ineligible.

The interview will take place in person.

At the candidate's request and based on their reasons, which the Examination Committee will evaluate on a case-by-case basis, deeming them appropriate or not, the interview may be held via videoconference, in the virtual room that will be set up by the Committee and indicated to the candidate.

The interview will be held, at the candidate's discretion, in Italian or English.

For interviews held in Italian, proficiency in one of the following languages will be tested: English, Spanish, French, German, or Portuguese. For interviews held in English, proficiency in Italian will be tested.

The minimum score to pass the interview is 18/30.

Eligibility is achieved with an overall score of 54/90 or higher.

Criteria for the evaluation of qualifications and tests

Valuation of securities

University training course up to a maximum of 12 , recognizing:

to the degree grade: up to a maximum of 9 points, as assigned below:

Grade of 110 cum laude: 9 points

Score between 108 and 110: 8 points

Score equal to 105 and 107: 7 points

Score equal to 102-104: 6 points

Score equal to 97-101: 5 points

Score equal to 90-96: 4 points

Score equal to 84-89: 3 points

Vote equal to 79-83: 2 points

Score equal to 66-78: 1 point

for the thesis: up to a maximum of 3 points, distributed as follows on the basis of the scientific depth of the paper: sufficient: 0.5 points, fair 1 point, good 1.5 points, distinguished 2 points, excellent 2.5 points, excellent 3 points.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, and for whom the degree grade cannot be assessed, the average grade of the exams taken will be assessed, awarding up to a maximum of 8 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, their thesis (up to a maximum of 3 points) will be evaluated according to the above criteria, only if produced in a final version with the supervisor's signature.

Further training courses and professional and research experiences , up to a maximum of 2 points, recognizing:

- for professional experience in **teaching** related to the topic of the scholarship funded by the ACN: up to 0.5 points.

Points will be assigned to the different types of experiences according to the following criteria:

- University or higher education teaching positions duly documented: 0.5 points
- Duly proven university tutoring: 0.25 points
- Any other professional experience in the field of university teaching or related higher education provided that it is accompanied by a duly proven contractual formalization: 0.25

- for professional experience in **scientific research** relevant to the topic of the grant funded by the ACN: up to 1 point.

Points will be assigned to the different types of experiences, provided they are duly proven, according to the following criteria:

- Research grant: 1 point;
- Participation in international conferences following selection procedures: 0.75 points;
- Postgraduate scholarships awarded following selective procedures: 0.5 points.

- for **any additional training course** related to the topic of the scholarship funded by the ACN: 0.5 points.

Points will be assigned to the different types of experiences, provided they are duly proven, according to the following criteria:

- Diploma from a specialized school for legal professions: 0.5 points;
- Second level Master's degree: 0.5 points;
- Higher education or specialization course: 0.5 points;
- First level Master's degree or university specialization course: 0.25 points;
- Selective training internships at public bodies and institutions: 0.25 points.

Publications relevant to the topic of the ACN-funded fellowship up to a maximum of 4 points (up to a maximum of 2 points for each publication) recognizing:

- for each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 2 points;
- for each publication of more than good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1.5 points
- for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1 point;
- for each publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0.5 points;
- for each publication of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0 points.

The evaluation of the quality of publications will be carried out taking into account:

- 1) with regard to scientific depth: the coherence of the approach and the correctness of the logical-legal method employed, the innovative nature of the topics addressed, the clarity of the exposition and the identification of the objectives;
- 2) with regard to editorial relevance: the national or international standing of the publishing house, the proven presence of scientific evaluation procedures, the possible presence of the ISSN code (if periodical) or ISBN (if monograph), the category of the periodical journal and its scientific nature according to the most recent provisions on the matter adopted by ANVUR.

Research project relevant to the topic of the ACN-funded grant , up to a maximum of 12 points , distributed as follows on the basis of the scientific depth of the project and the research aptitude expressed therein.

The project evaluation will be carried out taking into account:

- 1) with regard to scientific depth: relevance to the macro-topics indicated in the call for proposals, the relevance of the theme, the completeness and systematicity of the approach, the value and consistency of the project, its concrete feasibility over the three-year period and the interdisciplinary approach;
- 2) with regard to research aptitude: the originality of the identified topic and the methodological approach of the proposed investigation, and possible future developments of the research based on the breadth and relevance of the topics covered.

The score is distributed as follows:

insufficient 0 points
1 point is sufficient
more than enough 2 points
decent 3 points
more than decent 4 points
almost good 5 points
good 6 points
more than good 7 points
almost distinct 8 points
9 points distinguished
almost excellent 10 points
excellent 11 points
excellent 12 points

Evaluation of the written test .

The evaluation will be carried out according to the following criteria: knowledge of the subject matter and legal categories, clarity of presentation, ability to summarise, argue, and connect with interdisciplinary profiles, according to the following score:

The score will be distributed as follows:

insufficient: up to 17 points
18 points sufficient
more than enough 19 points
acceptable 20 points
decent 21 points
more than decent 22 points
almost good 23 points
good 24 points
more than good 25 points
almost distinct 26 points
distinguished 27 points

almost excellent 28 points
excellent 29 points
excellent 30 points.

Interview evaluation .

The evaluation will be conducted according to the following criteria: knowledge of the subject matter of the project proposal as well as the themes and profiles related to the macro-topics indicated in the call for applications and in any case related to the research project; clarity of presentation; ability to critically elaborate the project proposal; and the candidate's scientific interests, according to the following scores:

The score will be distributed as follows:

insufficient: up to 17 points
18 points sufficient
more than enough 19 points
acceptable 20 points
decent 21 points
more than decent 22 points
almost good 23 points
good 24 points
more than good 25 points
almost distinct 26 points
distinguished 27 points
almost excellent 28 points
excellent 29 points
excellent 30 points.

Written test and interview diary :

Starting **October 2, 2025 a notice** will be published on the University website at <https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica> and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the exams, as** well as any other communications concerning this selection process. This notice serves as a formal notification , **and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview, in the case of admission via online means) will be deemed an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.