A.D. 1308
unipg
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI
DI PERUGIA

ANNEX 1 to the Call for Applications for the PhD courses of the XLII cycle of the academic year 2026/2027 – Information on the courses and selection procedures

AGRO-ECOSISTEMI, BIO-ECONOMIA E TECNOLOGIE DEL TERRITORIO - *(AGRO-ECOSYSTEMS, BIO-ECONOMY AND TERRITORIAL TECHNOLOGIES)* 3

BIOSCIENZE E BIOTECNOLOGIE AGROALIMENTARI E AMBIENTALI - *AGRI-FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL BIOSCIENCES AND BIOTECHNOLOGIES* - 7

BIOTECNOLOGIE - *BIOTECHNOLOGY* 12

DIRITTO DEI CONSUMI - *CONSUMER LAW* 16

ECONOMIA - ISTITUZIONI, IMPRESE E METODI QUANTITATIVI - *ECONOMICS - INSTITUTIONS, BUSINESSES AND QUANTITATIVE METHODS* 19

EDUCAZIONE ALLA LETTURA, EFFETTI E BENEFICI DELLA LETTURA E DELLA LETTURA AD ALTA VOCE - *READING EDUCATION: THE EFFECTS AND BENEFITS OF READING AND READING ALOUD* 25

ENERGIA E SVILUPPO SOSTENIBILE - *ENERGY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT* 30

ETICA DELLA COMUNICAZIONE, DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA E DELL'INNOVAZIONE TECNOLOGICA - *ETHICS OF COMMUNICATION, SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION* 34

FISICA - *PHYSICS* 38

INGEGNERIA INDUSTRIALE E DELL'INFORMAZIONE - *INDUSTRIAL AND INFORMATION ENGINEERING* 43

INTERNATIONAL DOCTORAL PROGRAM IN CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING 48

LEGALITÀ, CULTURE POLITICHE E DEMOCRAZIA - *LEGALITY, POLITICAL CULTURES AND DEMOCRACY* 53

MEDICINA CLINICA E MOLECOLARE - *CLINICAL AND MOLECULAR MEDICINE* 57

MEDICINA E CHIRURGIA TRASLAZIONALE - *Translational Medicine and Surgery* 61

MEDICINA E TERAPIE SPERIMENTALI - *EXPERIMENTAL MEDICINE AND THERAPIES* 65

SANITÀ E SCIENZE SPERIMENTALI VETERINARIE - *VETERINARY HEALTH AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES* 70

SCIENZE BIOLOGICHE E NATURALI - *BIOLOGICAL AND NATURAL SCIENCES* 74

SCIENZE CHIMICHE - *CHEMICAL SCIENCES* 78

SCIENZE FARMACEUTICHE - *PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES* 83

SCIENZE GIURIDICHE - *LEGAL SCIENCES* 90

SCIENZE UMANE - *HUMAN SCIENCES* 99

SISTEMA TERRA E CAMBIAMENTI GLOBALI - *EARTH SYSTEM AND GLOBAL CHANGE* 103

STORIA, ARTI E LINGUAGGI NELL'EUROPA ANTICA E MODERNA - *HISTORY, ARTS, AND LANGUAGES IN ANCIENT AND MODERN EUROPE* 107

AGRO-ECOSISTEMI, BIO-ECONOMIA E TECNOLOGIE DEL TERRITORIO - *(AGRO-ECOSYSTEMS, BIO-ECONOMY AND TERRITORIAL TECHNOLOGIES)*

Course code	**DR227**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**3**
Of which	
With scholarship funded by the Department of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences - Under: LEGUMES Project - Ecosystem Service for Legumes (Horizon Europe) PROCEED Project - Plant Protection for Agricultural Resilience and Feed Self-Sufficiency (Horizon RIA) **Report:** PROCEED26, Horizon Europe n. 101289054 PROCEED, CUP J53C26001320002	**1** Scholarship for the topic (Agronomy): Integrating value chain biodiversity and soil quality assessment into the life cycle assessment (LCA) of protein crop production. **1** Scholarship for the topic (Soil Chemistry): Agronomic strategies in cropping systems in central Italy based on the use of leguminous species and bioactives to improve soil quality, fertility, and functionality. **1** Scholarship for the topic (Animal Husbandry): "Development and evaluation of innovative and sustainable animal feeds based on the use of locally produced protein crops as an alternative to conventional protein sources, with formulations optimized for different livestock production systems."
Curricula	**No**
Research project	**YES mandatory** (no specific format for the editorial staff)
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Prof. Palliotti Alberto

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree pursuant** to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure : Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Differentiated tests are foreseen for each scholarship advertised

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores

A maximum score of 30 points is reserved for the evaluation of the qualifications.

University education, up to a maximum of 10 points, recognizing:

to the degree grade: up to a maximum of 10 points, as assigned below:

Grade 110/110 with honors: 10 points
Score of 110/110: 9 points
Score equal to 109/110: 8 points
Score equal to 108/110: 7 points
Score equal to 107/110: 6 points
Score equal to 106/110: 5 points
Rating from 104/110 to 105/110 4 points
Rating from 100/110 to 103/110: 2 points
Score lower than 100/110: 0 points
For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, and for whom the degree grade cannot be assessed, the average grade of the exams taken will be assessed, awarding up to a maximum of 6 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Additional educational paths and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 2 points for additional educational paths and relevant professional and research experiences, recognizing:

• for any professional experience in the teaching field (tutoring, teaching support activities) relevant: up to 1 point;
• for any professional experience in the field of scientific research (research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration, for periods of no less than 12 months, relevant: up to 1 point;
• for each further training course (relevant second level master's degree, relevant specialization, relevant qualification): up to 1 point.
Publications, up to a maximum of 3 points for relevant publications, recognizing:

- for each publication present in the ISI/SCOPUS databases point 2;
- for each publication not present in the ISI/SCOPUS databases, but of good scientific quality, points 1;
- for each publication not present in the ISI/SCOPUS databases, but of sufficient scientific quality, 0.5 points;
- for each abstract presented as an oral communication or poster at an international conference, 0.25 points

- for each abstract presented as an oral communication or poster at a national conference, 0.125 points

Research project, up to a maximum of 15 points (only if produced by the candidate), recognizing :

- for the research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 15 points;
- to the research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 13 points;
- for a good quality research project, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 10 points;
- for a research project of reasonable quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 6 points;
- to the research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 2 points;
- for a research project of inevaluable quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 0 points.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 15/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

The interview will focus on the following specific topics: a) Agronomy; b) Soil chemistry; c) Zootechnics

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview procedure : In person

Language of the interview : Italian
For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

The interview has a maximum score of 30 points.

Interview evaluation criteria:

Knowledge of the subject matter and clarity of presentation, aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experience abroad, and the candidate's scientific interests.

In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation ranking, as a result of the application of the above criteria:

30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria

28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

BIOSCIENZE E BIOTECNOLOGIE AGROALIMENTARI E AMBIENTALI - *AGRI-FOOD AND ENVIRONMENTAL BIOSCIENCES AND BIOTECHNOLOGIES* -

Course code	**DR228**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**4**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
With scholarships funded by the Department of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences	**1** Scholarship for the theme "Development of innovative foods based on alternative plant-based proteins to promote health, well-being and food sustainability" PRIMA-MED project: MEDPROAGE - New Plant-Based Alternative Protein food products to foster healthy aging in the Mediterranean area. Coordinator: Prof. Ombretta Marconi (DSA3)"
resumes	1 – Biological sciences and ecosystem biodiversity 2 – Food and zootechnical sciences and technologies
Research project	**YES mandatory** The project can be written in Italian or English according to the following format: Candidate Name; Project Title; State of the Art; Objectives; Materials and Methods; Timeline; Bibliography; The project must not exceed 10,000 characters.
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Prof. Salerno Gianandrea

<u>Degrees required for admission</u>

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree** pursuant to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

The evaluation of securities
In addition to the university education, any additional training, professional and research experience, and any scientific publications, the program will also require candidates to develop a three-year research project of their choice, aimed exclusively at evaluating the candidate on one of the following topics from the doctoral curriculum:

CURRICULUM BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES AND ECOSYSTEM BIODIVERSITY

a) English: New frontiers in insect-plant interactions: molecular, physiological and semiochemical mechanisms regulating tritrophic interactions in phytophagous hemipterans
English: New frontiers in insect-plant interactions: molecular, physiological and semiochemical mechanisms modulating tritrophic interactions in herbivorous Hemiptera
b) Italian: From Species to Habitats: Development of Integrated Strategies for the Conservation of Plant Biodiversity in the Habitats of Annex I to Directive 92/43/EEC
English: From Species to Habitats: Developing Integrated Strategies for Plant Biodiversity Conservation in Annex I Habitats of the Directive 92/43/EEC
FOOD AND ZOOTECHNICAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY CURRICULUM

to) Developing a Sustainable Poultry System: Genetics, Nutrition, and Supply Chain Quality
English: Developing a Sustainable Poultry System: From Genetics and Nutrition to Supply Chain Quality
b) Italian: Development of innovative foods based on alternative plant-based proteins to promote health, well-being and food sustainability
English: Development of innovative foods based on plant-based alternative proteins to promote health, wellbeing and food sustainability.

University education, up to a maximum of 6 points, recognizing:

To the degree grade, up to a maximum of 3 points, as assigned below:

Grade 110/110 with honors: 3 points
Score of 110/110: 2.5 points
Score equal to 109/110: 2 points
Score equal to 108/110: 1.75 points
Score equal to 107/110: 1.5 points
Score equal to 106/110: 1 point
Score equal to 105/110: 0.75 points
Score equal to 104/110: 0.5 points
Score lower than 104/110: 0 points
For candidates who obtain the required qualification after the application deadline and for whom it is not possible to evaluate the degree grade, the Commission will evaluate the average grade of the exams taken, awarding up to a maximum of 3 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.
For candidates who have graduated from a foreign university, the Commission will use the grade conversion system reported in Annex 2 to MIUR Decree No. 859 of 02_05_2019.

For the Master's thesis, up to a maximum of 3 points per Master's thesis, only if produced by the candidates and if relevant to the topics of the Doctorate, recognizing:
for a thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth, 3 points;
for a good quality degree thesis, in terms of scientific depth, 2 points;
for a degree thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 1 point;
for a degree thesis of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 0 points.

Additional educational paths and professional and research experiences - up to a maximum of 2 points for additional educational paths and relevant professional and research experiences, recognizing:
-for any relevant professional experience in the teaching field (tutoring, teaching support activities): 1 point;
-for any professional experience in the field of scientific research (research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration, etc.) relevant: for a total duration of more than 1 month and less than 12 months, 1 point;
-for any professional experience in the field of scientific research (research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration, etc.) relevant to: for a total duration equal to or greater than 12 months, 2 points;
-for each additional training course (relevant second-level master's degree, relevant specialization, relevant qualification, participation in relevant conferences, etc.): 0.5 points.

Publications - up to a maximum of 3 points for relevant publications, recognizing:
-for each publication present in the ISI/Scopus databases, 2 points;
-1 point for each publication not present in the ISI/Scopus databases, but of good quality in terms of scientific depth;
-0.5 points for each publication not present in the ISI/Scopus databases, but of sufficient quality in terms of scientific depth;
-for each abstract presented as a communication or poster at an international conference, 0.25 points;
-for each abstract presented as a communication or poster at a national conference, 0.125 points

Research project - up to a maximum of 19 points, recognizing:
- for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth, research aptitude, and methodological approach consistent with the objectives of the proposed research, 19 points;
- for a research project of outstanding quality, in terms of scientific depth, research aptitude, and methodological approach consistent with the objectives of the proposed research, 16 points;
- for a good-quality research project, in terms of scientific depth, research aptitude, and methodological approach consistent with the objectives of the proposed research, 12 points;
-for a research project of reasonable quality, in terms of scientific depth, research aptitude, and methodological approach consistent with the objectives of the proposed research, 9 points;
- for a research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, research aptitude, and methodological approach consistent with the objectives of the proposed research, 6 points;
- for a research project of almost sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, research aptitude, and methodological approach consistent with the objectives of the proposed research, 4 points;
- for a research project of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, research aptitude and consistency with the objectives of the proposed research, 2 points;
-for a research project of inevaluable quality, in terms of scientific depth, research aptitude, and methodological approach consistent with the objectives of the proposed research, 0 points.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 15/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

Interview topics: It will focus on some specific topics

Specific topics on which the interview will focus: CURRICULUM BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES AND ECOSYSTEM BIODIVERSITY a) Italian: New frontiers in insect-plant interactions: molecular, physiological and semiochemical mechanisms that regulate tritrophic interactions in phytophagous Hemiptera English: New frontiers in insect-plant interactions: molecular, physiological and semiochemical mechanisms modulating tritrophic interactions in herbivorous Hemiptera b) Italian: From Species to Habitats: Developing Integrated Strategies for Plant Biodiversity Conservation in Annex I Habitats of the Directive 92/43/EEC English: From Species to Habitats: Developing Integrated Strategies for Plant Biodiversity Conservation in Annex I Habitats of the Directive 92/43/EEC CURRICULUM FOOD AND ZOOTECHNICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGIES a) Italian: Development of a Poultry System Sustainable: Genetics, Nutrition and Supply Chain Quality English: Developing a Sustainable Poultry System: From Genetics and Nutrition to Supply Chain Quality b) Italian: Development of innovative foods based on plant-based alternative proteins to promote health, wellbeing and food sustainability English: Development of innovative foods based on plant-based alternative proteins to promote health, wellbeing and food sustainability.

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

The interview will be conducted in person. It may be conducted via videoconference if specifically requested by the candidate.

Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/352990659997565?p=a6Qqj20tunmd4zjkXS

Interview language: Italian or, at the candidate's choice, English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview Evaluation Criteria: Knowledge of the subject matter; clarity of presentation; aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experience abroad, and the candidate's scientific interests. Specifically, scores will be awarded based on the following overall evaluation scale, based on the application of the above criteria:

30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard, announcing **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

BIOTECNOLOGIE - *BIOTECHNOLOGY*

(PhD in association with companies)

Course code	**DR201**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**10**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
Positions reserved to employees of companies engaged in highly qualified activities (industrial doctorate)	**1 reserved position** to employees USL 2 Umbria **1 reserved position** to employees of the Friuli Centrale University Health Authority, Udine, Italy **1 reserved position** to FAO employees **1 reserved position** to employees of the Defense Institute of Biomedical Sciences **1 reserved position to** employees of the Human Brain Wave (HBW) Srl **1 reserved position to** BT Biotechnology employees **1 reserved position to** employees of the Santa Maria Terni Hospital
resumes	1 – Medical biotechnology 2 – Molecular and Industrial Biotechnologies 3 – Biomaterials and biodevices
In agreement with	Defense Institute of Biomedical Sciences University Health Authority of Central Friuli, Udine, Italy FAO Usl 2 UMBRIA Human Brain Wave (HBW) Srl

	BT Biotechnology Santa Maria Terni Hospital
Research project	**YES mandatory** The research project must indicate the purpose, the state of the art, the experimental plan distributed over the three years of the PhD, the expected results, and the bibliography.
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Professor Martino Sabata

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree pursuant** to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores: the evaluation of qualifications takes into account the entire educational path, significant scientific and professional experiences, and significant scientific achievements such as publications, etc. The evaluation of qualifications, which carries a maximum score of 30 points, will be carried out as follows:

University education, up to a maximum of 7 points, recognizing the degree grade:

- Grade 110/110 with honors: 7 points
- Score of 110/110: 6 points
- Score equal to 109/110: 5 points
- Score equal to 108/110: 4 points
- Score equal to 107/110: 3 points
- Score equal to 106/110: 2 points
- Score from 100 to 105/110: 1 point
- Score lower than 100/110: 0 points

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, and for whom the degree grade cannot be assessed, the average grade of the exams taken will be assessed, awarding up to a maximum of 6 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Further training courses and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 3 points, recognizing:

- for any relevant professional experience in the teaching field (tutoring, teaching support activities): up to 1 point;
- for any professional experience in the field of scientific research (research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration, for periods of no less than 12 months) relating to: up to 1 point;
- for each additional training course (relevant second-level master's degree, relevant specialization, relevant qualification): up to 1 point.
- publications, up to a maximum of 1 point for relevant publications in international scientific journals (only if the candidate produces at least one).

The evaluation will also include the candidates' development of a research project to be developed over the course of the three-year period on one of the topics relevant to the PhD curricula.
The project evaluation will be carried out as follows:

Research project, up to a maximum of 20 points (only if produced by the candidate), recognizing:
- for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 20 points;
- to the research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 14 points;
- for a good quality research project, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 10 points;
- for a research project of reasonable quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 6 points;
- to the research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 2 points.

The overall score (Qualifications + Project) will be announced before the next test.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 15/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.
Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.
Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

Interview topics: It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.
Specific topics on which the interview will focus: It will focus on the general topics of the curricula in which the d.octorate is structured and on the discussion of the project

research presented as an attachment to the application.

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview method: remote

Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/327139841528530?p=wG9u49dOkrDjjb0TF7

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

The interview has a maximum score of 30 points.

Interview evaluation criteria: knowledge of the subject matter and clarity of presentation, aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experience abroad, and the candidate's scientific interests.

In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation scale:

30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria.

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

DIRITTO DEI CONSUMI - *CONSUMER LAW*

Course code	**DR202**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**3**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**2**
With University Scholarship	**1**
resumes	**NO**
Research project	**YES mandatory** (no specific format for the editorial staff)
Declaration to be made for verification of use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project submitted for selection purposes was personally drafted without the use of generative artificial intelligence tools.
Coordinator	Prof. Lorenzo Mezzasoma

Degrees required for admission

Master's Degree in : 22/S “Law”, 84/S “Business and Economics”, LMG/01 “Law

Master's Degree in : LM-77 “Economic and Business Sciences, LMG/01 “class of master's degrees in Law

Second **Degree Diploma** the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999, equated to the specialist and master's degrees indicated above, pursuant to the current regulations on equivalence for the purposes of participation in public competitions.

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores: In addition to the university education, as well as any additional educational background, professional and research experience, and any scientific publications, the evaluation will also include the candidates' development of a three-year research project of their choice, aimed exclusively at evaluating the candidate on one of the doctoral topics (SC 12/A1 - SSD IUS/01; SC 12/B1 - SSD IUS/04; SC 12/E3 - SSD IUS/05; SC 13/B1 - SSD SECS-

P/07; SC 12/G2 - SSD IUS /16). The overall evaluation, out of 30, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 15/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

Interview topics: It will focus on some specific topics

Specific topics covered by the interview: - SSD IUS/01; SC 12/B1 - SSD IUS/04; SC 12/E3 - SSD IUS/05; SC 13/B1 - SSD SECS-P/07; SC 12/G2 - SSD IUS /16 (SSDs subsequently updated by the Ministry of Education, University and Research). The interview will also focus on a discussion of the research project.
The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.
Interview procedure: In person. The interview will be held remotely ONLY for foreign participants at the link below:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/380669327977121?p=Id6bucyrOlipr6LsUz

The interview can be conducted in Italian, Spanish, or English . For interviews conducted in Italian, knowledge of Spanish and/or English will be assessed.

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard, announcing **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

NB : The PhD Course requires that PhD students must carry out research activities lasting at least 365 days (starting from the second year of the course) at the University of Salamanca (ES) .

Furthermore, during their stay in Italy, PhD students must ensure they complete at least three days of in-person research per week at the Department of Economics – Terni campus – of the University of Perugia.

ECONOMIA - ISTITUZIONI, IMPRESE E METODI QUANTITATIVI - *ECONOMICS - INSTITUTIONS, BUSINESSES AND QUANTITATIVE METHODS*

(PhD in association with companies)

Course code	**DR203**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**5**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
Positions reserved to employees of companies engaged in highly qualified activities (industrial doctorate)	**1 reserved position** to employees Conti Valerio Srl for the topic " Strategic Drivers and Organizational Models in Industrial Internationalization. Governance and Integrated Management between HQ and Chinese Subsidiary: The Eureka Case." This research project aims to explore the relationship between headquarters and subsidiaries in light of the current international scenario, characterized by uncertain competitive conditions. Specifically, the project aims to provide insights into the ways in which headquarters and subsidiaries connect, taking into account the relationship between production and commercial internationalization, and with reference to the strategic decisions and levels of autonomy of subsidiaries. Particular attention will be paid to the organizational dimension of the relationship between headquarters and subsidiaries and to governance models capable of supporting the company's international growth process, both in production and commercial areas. The project explores the strategic drivers of such governance, also assessing its potential in terms of competitive performance and business development opportunities. The project explores each specific case study in depth, taking into account the company's specificities and assessing the opportunities and barriers to implementing

	governance and integrating management between the headquarters and the foreign subsidiary. **One reserved position to** employees of Italia Sostenibile Srl for the topic "Strategic levers and organizational models for territorial cohesion. Artificial intelligence, transformative finance, and impact measurement in social innovation processes: the "Spotted" case study." The research project aims to explore the relationship between digital tools, impact measurement methodologies, and transformative finance levers in light of the current development and enhancement scenario for inland areas, characterized by increasing complexity in regeneration and social innovation processes. Specifically, the project aims to provide insights into the integration of enabling technologies, primarily artificial intelligence and blockchain, with public-private governance tools, focusing on strategic choices and the replicability of models adopted in smaller territorial contexts. Particular attention will be paid to the organizational dimension of local development processes and the cooperation systems capable of supporting the integration of tourism, civic digitalization, impact finance, and participatory policies. The project explores the strategic drivers of this integration, assessing its potential in terms of model scalability and impact on public decisions. The project explores the specific business ecosystem in greater depth, taking into account experiences gained in the field and assessing the opportunities and barriers to implementing replicable and iterative solutions.
resumes	1 - Economy and institutions 2 - Economy and business 3 - Quantitative methods for economics
In agreement with	Conti Valerio Srl Sustainable Italy Srl
Research project	**YES mandatory** (no specific format for the editorial staff)

Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection purposes were personally written. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content. Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application.
Coordinator	Prof. Paolo Polinori

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree pursuant** to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores: Valuation of securities.

University education path:

up to a maximum of 15 points, recognizing the degree grade as:
up to a maximum of 8 points, as awarded below:
- Grade of 110/110 with honors: 8 points
- Grade equal to 110/110: 7 points
-Rating from 108/110 to 109/110: 6 points
-Rating from 105/110 to 107/110: 4 points
-Rating from 103/110 to 104/110: 2 points
-Rating from 100/110 to 102/110: 1 point
-Grade lower than 100/110: 0 points

for the thesis: up to a maximum of 7 points for a relevant thesis, recognizing:

-7 points for a thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth;
-4 points for a good quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth;
-2 points for a thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth;
-for a degree thesis of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 0 points.
For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, for whom it is not possible to evaluate the degree grade, the Commission will evaluate the average grade of the exams taken, awarding up to a maximum of 8 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.
Further educational paths and professional and research experiences:

up to a maximum of 4 points for further training courses and relevant professional and research experiences, recognizing:

•for any professional experience in the teaching field, such as tutoring, teaching support, contract teaching: 2 points. Please note that being a subject expert cannot be evaluated in this context, as this quality does not include any type of professional experience formalized in a contractual hypothesis;
•for any professional experience related to scientific research, such as research grants, scholarships for research projects, collaborations in research activities or scientific work, research collaborations: 3 points;
• for any further relevant training courses, such as
i) 1st or 2nd level master's degree, specialization, qualification: 2 points;
ii) post-graduate courses/schools: 1 point.

Publications:

up to a maximum of 3 points for relevant publications, recognizing:

• for each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance: 3 points;
•for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance: 2 points;
•for each publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance: 1 point.
•for any publication of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance: 0 points.
Research project:

up to a maximum of 8 points, recognizing:

• for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research: 8 points;
•for a good quality research project, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude: 5 points;
•for a research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research: 2 points;
•for a research project of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, or not received: 0 points.
The overall evaluation of the qualifications, out of thirtieths, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 15/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

Interview topics: It will focus on some specific topics

Specific topics covered during the interview: In addition to the curricular topics of the doctoral program, the interview will focus on the research project presented by the candidate. The objective of the research proposal is to ensure organizational and methodological coherence. The committee will evaluate the candidate's ability to translate the research idea into a feasible and well-structured scientific research project. In particular, the following will be assessed: Temporal and logistical feasibility; Adequacy of the timeline with respect to the three-year objectives. Resource and risk management: Ability to identify needs (equipment, archives, mobility) and develop mitigation plans for any critical issues. Dissemination planning: Definition of a clear strategy for publishing results and participating in conferences.

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview method: remote/in person

Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/328227301099998?p=iK1GO2ms2rPREfe1qe

Interview language: English

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed:
Only for the N candidates who choose to take the interview in Italian, N+2 anonymous sealed envelopes will be prepared containing abstracts of scientific articles written in English. Each additional candidate will have to read and summarize them, and the commission will ask questions about them in English.

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The interview, held in English, will focus on questions related to the PhD program's curriculum, in relation to the candidate's research project proposal. The interview will also assess the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake research experiences abroad, and scientific interests.
For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of the English language will be assessed.
The Commission will prepare abstracts of scientific articles in English in a number greater than the number of candidates admitted to the interview; each will be assigned a consecutive number; candidates will be asked to indicate a number corresponding to the text being tested. To assess their English language proficiency, candidates will be required to read the selected abstract, translate the passages indicated, and briefly report on their content.
The interview will be conducted both in person and via videoconference, depending on the reasons given, according to the procedures established by the University.
The Commission establishes the following criteria for evaluating the interview:
knowledge of the subject

clarity of exposition

research aptitude

willingness to undertake experiences abroad

scientific interests of the candidate.

In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation ranking, as a result of the application of the above criteria:

30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria

29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria

28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria

27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria

26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria

25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria

24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria

23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria

22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria

21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria

20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria

19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria

18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria

17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria

16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria

15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria

The overall evaluation of the interview, out of thirty, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard, announcing **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

EDUCAZIONE ALLA LETTURA, EFFETTI E BENEFICI DELLA LETTURA E DELLA LETTURA AD ALTA VOCE - *READING EDUCATION: THE EFFECTS AND BENEFITS OF READING AND READING ALOUD*

(PhD in association with Italian universities and companies)

Course code	**DR224**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**4**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**1**
Scholarships with partner universities	**1** University of Modena and Reggio Emilia **scholarship**
Positions reserved to employees of companies engaged in highly qualified activities (industrial doctorate)	**1 reserved position** to the Employees of the Nausika Association
resumes	NO
In agreement with	University of Modena and Reggio Emilia Nausika Association
Research project	**YES mandatory (no specific format for the editorial staff)**
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Professor Sannipoli Moira

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree pursuant** to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Qualifications and test evaluation criteria, including the scoring criteria: In addition to the candidate's university education, any additional training, professional experience, and research, and any scientific publications specific to the doctorate, the evaluation of qualifications will also include the candidate's development of a three-year research project of their choice, aimed exclusively at evaluating the candidate on one of the doctoral program's topics or on the specific topic for which the scholarship with a reserve of positions for company employees is associated. The commission reserves the right to match scholarships and candidates based on the candidate's profile as reflected in the qualifications presented, the reserves provided for individual scholarships or other reserves (company employees), the project, and the interview. The overall evaluation, out of 30, is accompanied by the detailed score assignment.

The submitted research project may, at the committee's discretion, be assigned a score of up to 15 points to ensure recent graduates are not excluded from the interview. Please note that only qualifications consistent with the doctoral program's topics or of methodological relevance to the program will be considered. Candidates with a score lower than 18 will not be admitted to the interview. The committee may admit candidates to the interview based solely on their research project and a relevant degree. Score assignment criteria for qualifications and research project

University education, up to a maximum of 4 points, recognizing the degree grade, (where the commission deems the degree consistent):

Grade equal to 110/110 with honors and 110/110: 4 points

Rating from 109/110 to 107/110: 3 points

Rating from 106/110 to 104/110: 2 points

Rating from 103/110 to 100/110: 1 point

Grade lower than 100/110 or inconsistent degree: 0 points

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, and for whom the degree grade cannot be assessed, the average grade of the exams taken will be assessed, awarding up to a maximum of 4 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Additional educational, professional, and research experiences: Only professional, research, and educational experiences relevant to the research program's intended purpose

will be considered. The experiences or educational experiences must be properly declared and documented by the candidates and must not be less than six months in duration.

Up to a maximum of 11 points are awarded for further relevant training and professional and research experiences, recognizing:

- up to 3 points for each experience in scientific research in areas related to reading education, reading promotion, evaluation of the effects and benefits of reading and reading aloud, experimental research related to reading (research grants, scholarships, or fellowships for research projects, structured and documented research collaborations will be considered), or research experience, in any case related to the human sciences, that is of particular methodological interest; up to 3 points for each experience exceeding 6 months;

- up to 2 points for each professional experience in reading education, specific and not generic, only if relevant (for example, ongoing and not occasional experiences in leading reading groups, training educational staff on reading, regular reading meetings, and organizing systematic teaching activities to support reading aloud, reading promotion, and reading education will be considered relevant; experience teaching Italian language and literature in the education system will not be considered relevant): 2 points for each different experience, each lasting more than 6 months. This area can earn a total of 9 points;

- up to 1 point for each additional training program specifically related to reading, reading education, narrative methods, qualitative or quantitative research in the human sciences (such as: first and second level master's degrees, relevant specializations, other documented courses, with a final exam and certification and lasting more than 6 months): up to 1 point for each program, for a maximum of 2 points.

Publications

A score will be awarded to any publications, only if made available by the candidates and if consistent with the PhD topics, **up to a maximum of 4 points** for publications relevant to the topics specified above, recognizing:
- for each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 2 points;
- for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1 point;
- for each publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0.5 points.

Research project

A score will be awarded to research projects produced in conjunction with the application: **up to a maximum of 15 points, recognising** :

- for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, up to 15 points;
- for a good quality research project, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, up to 11 points;

- for a research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, up to 8 points.
Lower scores will be related to insufficient projects.

In any case, the overall evaluation of the qualifications cannot exceed 30 points in total.

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 18/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 22/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 40/60

Interview topics: It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview procedure: in person

Language of the interview: Italian

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The interview will focus, with in-depth technical and methodological analysis, on the research topics of the doctoral program, as detailed in the program description. It will also assess the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake research experience abroad, and scientific interests. The candidate's development of a research project proposal, to be submitted along with the application, will be evaluated among the qualifications and will constitute the starting point for the interview.

The interview will focus on questions related to the doctoral program, with detailed technical and methodological insights. The interview will also assess the candidate's

aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experience abroad and in the field, and his or her scientific interests.

Criteria for the overall evaluation of the interview: knowledge of the subject matter; clarity of presentation; aptitude for research; technical and methodological competence; ability to justify the choices made in preparing one's project; willingness to participate in all the commitments required by the doctorate; the candidate's scientific interests. Points will be awarded based on the following scale:

30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria;
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria;
28/30: more than excellent profit in relation to the above criteria;
27/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria;
26/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria;
25/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria;
24/30: very good profit in relation to the above criteria;
23/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria;
22/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria;
21/30: profit not entirely sufficient in relation to the above criteria;
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria;
19/30: clearly insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria;
18/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria;
17/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria;
16/30: extremely poor profit in relation to the above criteria;
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard, announcing **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

ENERGIA E SVILUPPO SOSTENIBILE - *ENERGY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT*

(PhD in association with companies)

Duration	3 years
Positions	**5**
of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarships	**2**
Positions reserved to employees of companies engaged in highly qualified activities (industrial doctorate)	**1** reserved position to employees of NUOVO PIGNONE TECNOLOGIE SRL **1** reserved position to employees of AGRICOLUS SRL
Curricula	1 – Energy and environmental well-being 2 – Energy, agricultural and land resources
In agreement with	NEW PIGNONE TECHNOLOGIES SRL AGROCOLUS SRL
Research project	**NO**
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Professor Anna Laura Pisello

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree pursuant** to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores: The evaluation of qualifications will take into account the applicant's university education, any additional training, professional and research experience, and any scientific publications. The evaluation will not include the candidate's development of a research project to be developed over the three-year period.

University education: up to a maximum of 18 points:

Degree grade: up to a maximum of 10 points, according to the following attribution:

- 110 cum laude: 10 points;
- 110: 9 points;
- 109: 8 points;
- 108: 7 points;
- 107: 6 points;
- 106: 5 points;
- 105: 4 points;
- 104: 3 points;
- from 100 to 103: 2 points;
- from 95 to 99: 1 point;
- below 95: 0 points.

For candidates who have not yet graduated, the score will be awarded based on the average grade of the exams taken, converted into a hundred and tenths.

Relevant thesis: up to a maximum of 8 points:

- excellent quality: 8 points;
- excellent quality: 6 points;
- good quality: 4 points;
- sufficient quality: 2 points.

Additional educational paths and professional and research experiences: up to a maximum of 7 points:

- teaching experiences: up to 1 point;
- scientific research experiences: up to 4 points;
- additional training courses: up to 2 points.

Scientific publications: up to a maximum of 5 points:

- publications in refereed journals: 1.5 points each;
- book chapters: 1 point each;
- publications in conference proceedings: 0.5 points each.

The overall evaluation of the qualifications will be accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 30/60

Interview topics: It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.

Specific topics on which the interview will focus: Energy, Environmental sustainability, Energy and environmental well-being, Energy, agricultural and territorial resources

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview method: remote

Link for remote interview: Microsoft Teams meeting Join: https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/373430816704731?p=2t6x6ozUUK9xLFOwYK Meeting ID: 373 430 816 704 731 Passcode: d2x5BS9C

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The Commission will prepare a series of questions exceeding the number of candidates admitted to the interview; each question will be placed in a sealed envelope; candidates will be asked to draw one envelope at random; the questions contained therein will be used in the test.

Given the wide range of topics covered during the course, the Commission will decide to place five different topics on different topics within the envelopes containing the questions for the interview, after drawing lots from them, from which the candidate can choose.

Furthermore, inside the aforementioned envelopes, a passage to be translated into English will be inserted.

During the discussion, the Commission will evaluate the candidate's aptitude for research, his/her willingness to undertake experiences abroad and his/her scientific interests.

The interview carries a maximum score of 30 points; a pass will be considered if the candidate achieves a score of at least 21/30.

The following criteria will be considered in evaluating the interview:

Knowledge of the subject matter, clarity of presentation, verification of the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experience abroad, and scientific interests.

In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation ranking, as a result of the application of the above criteria:
30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria

28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
Equal to or less than 15/30: seriously deficient profit in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

ETICA DELLA COMUNICAZIONE, DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA E DELL'INNOVAZIONE TECNOLOGICA - *ETHICS OF COMMUNICATION, SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION*

(PhD in association with companies)

Course code	**DR205**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**5**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
Positions reserved to employees of companies engaged in highly qualified activities (industrial doctorate)	**1 reserved position to** employees of the Zooprophylactic Institute of Umbria and Marche "Togo Rosati" **1 reserved position to** employees of the "G. Caporale" Experimental Zooprophylactic Institute of Abruzzo and Molise
resumes	1 – Humanistic 2 – Scientific – Technological 3 – Doctor – Health worker
In agreement with	Togo Rosati Zooprophylactic Institute of Umbria and Marche Experimental Zooprophylactic Institute of Abruzzo and Molise "G. Caporale"
Research project	**YES mandatory (no specific format for the editorial staff)**
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.

Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	
Coordinator	Professor Elisa Delvecchio

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree pursuant** to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

To the degree grade up to a maximum of 4 points, as assigned below:
Grades of 110/110 and 110/110 with honors: 4 points
Rating from 109/110 to 108/110: 3 points
Rating from 107/110 to 105/110: 2 points
Rating from 104/110 to 100/110: 1 point
Score lower than 100/110: 0 points.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, and for whom the degree grade cannot be assessed, the average grade of the exams taken will be evaluated, awarding up to a maximum of 3 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Master's Thesis, up to a maximum of 4 points for each relevant Master's Thesis, recognizing:

- for a thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth, 4 points;
- for a thesis of outstanding quality, in terms of scientific depth, 3 points;
- for a good quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth, 2 points;
- for a thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 1 point.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, their thesis will be evaluated according to the above criteria, only if produced in a final version with the supervisor's signature.

Further training courses and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 4 points for further training courses and relevant professional and research experiences, recognizing:
- for any professional experience in university teaching: tutoring contracts, teaching support or teaching activities: 1 point; being a subject expert will not be considered in this context;

- for any professional experience in the field of relevant scientific research: research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration, participation in research groups,

ownership of research projects, participation in relevant conferences with contributions (oral presentation, poster or visual documents), activity in relevant scientific associations: 1 point;

- for any additional training course: post-graduate master's course, relevant first and second level master's degree, relevant specialization or post-master's course, qualification to practice a profession: 2 points.

Publications, up to a maximum of 4 points for relevant publications, recognizing:

- for each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 4 points;
- for each publication of outstanding quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 3 points;
- for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 2 points;
- for each publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1 point.

Research project, up to a maximum of 14 points, recognizing:

- for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 14 points;
- for a research project of outstanding quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 11 points;
- for a good quality research project, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 8 points;
- for a research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 5 points;
- for a research project of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 0 points.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 9/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 30/60

Interview topics: It will focus on some specific topics

Specific topics on which the interview will focus: 1. topics of the curricula of the doctorate; 2. presentation of the research project submitted with the application

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview method: Remote; In person

Link for remote interview: will be provided if there are requests

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

Interview, up to a maximum of 30 points, recognizing:

30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard, announcing **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

FISICA - *PHYSICS*

(PhD in association with Italian research institutions)

Course code	**DR206**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**7**
of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
With scholarship from the Department of Physics and Geology	2 scholarships funded by the Department of Excellence, SUPER-C project – Space and Universe Perugia Research Cluster, on the topic: "Theoretical, observational, and experimental studies of cosmic messengers in the Universe"
With scholarship funded by INFN	**2**
resumes	1) UNIVERSE 2) PHYSICS OF FUNDAMENTAL INTERACTIONS 3) APPLIED AND INTERDISCIPLINARY PHYSICS
In agreement with	National Institute of Nuclear Physics
Research project	**YES mandatory -** A research project proposal is required and must be submitted with the application. The project must address one of the topics covered in the Doctoral Program curricula and will be used exclusively for the candidate's evaluation. The project does not constitute a binding obligation with respect to the research project that will be developed during the Doctoral Program.
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. Any use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.

Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	
Coordinator	Prof. Nicola Tomassetti

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree pursuant** to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:
The **evaluation of qualifications** , in addition to the university education, any further educational background, professional and research experience, and any scientific publications, will also include the development by candidates of a three-year research project of their choice, aimed exclusively at evaluating the candidate on one of the topics of the curricula of the Doctorate.

A maximum score of **30 points is reserved to the evaluation of the qualifications** , structured as follows.

University education, up to a maximum of 14 points , recognizing:

a) to the degree grade, up to a maximum of **12 points** , as assigned below:
- grade of 110/110 with honors: 12 points;
- grade equal to 110/110: 11 points;
- grade equal to 109/110: 10 points;
- grade equal to 108/110: 9 points;
- grade equal to 107/110: 8 points;
- grade equal to 106/110: 7 points;
- grade equal to 105/110: 6 points;
- grade equal to 104/110: 5 points;
- grade equal to 103/110: 4 points;
- grade equal to 102/110: 3 points;
- grade equal to 101/110: 2 points;
- grade equal to 100/110: 1 point;
- grade lower than 100/110: 0 points.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the deadline for applications, for whom it is not possible to evaluate the degree grade, the weighted curricular average will be evaluated with respect to the credits of the exams taken, where available, awarding up to a maximum of **11 points** , according to the following scheme:
- average above 29.0: 11 points;
- average above 28.5: 10 points;
- average above 28.0: 9 points;

- average above 27.5: 8 points;
- average above 27.0: 7 points;
- average above 26.5: 6 points;
- average above 26.0: 5 points;
- average above 25.5: 4 points;
- average above 25.0: 3 points;
- average above 24.5: 2 points;
- average above 24.0: 1 point;
- average lower than or equal to 24.0: 0 points.

b) to the degree thesis, up to a maximum of **2 points** , for the degree of relevance of the thesis to the research areas specific to the Doctoral Course, recognizing:
- for a fully relevant thesis: 2 points;
- to the partially relevant thesis: 1 point;
- for an unrelated thesis: 0 points.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, their thesis will be evaluated according to the above criteria only if it is produced in a final version signed by the supervisor.

Further training courses and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 3 points , recognizing:

- for each training period abroad and internship of at least 30 consecutive days: 0.5 points;
- for any professional experience in the teaching field, such as tutoring or teaching support activities: 0.5 points;
- for each professional experience of at least six months in the field of scientific research, such as research grants, scholarships and/or contracts: 1 point;
- for any additional training courses, such as second-level university master's degrees, specialization diplomas, professional qualifications: 1 point;
- for each contribution to conferences, schools, workshops, or seminars by invitation: 0.4 points;
- for any other professional, teaching, and outreach experience, such as teacher training, outreach conferences, participation in conferences, schools, and workshops without a grant, international recognition, etc.: 0.2 points.

Relevant publications, up to a maximum of 3 points , recognizing:

- for each publication in a journal with external reviewers of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance: 3 points;
- for each publication in a journal with external reviewers of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance: 2 points;
- for each publication in a journal with external reviewers of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance: 1 point;
- at each conference and workshop proceedings: 1 point.

Research project, up to a maximum of 10 points , recognizing:
- for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude: 10 points;
- for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research: 9 points;
- for a research project of good/excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude: 8 points;

- for a good quality research project, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude: 7 points;
- for a research project of sufficient/good quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research: 6 points;
- for a research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research: 5 points;
- for a research project of insufficient but assessable quality: up to 4 points;
- for an inconsistent, seriously deficient, or unassessable research project: 0 points.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 18/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 20/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 38/60

Specific topics on which the interview will focus: The interview will focus on the topics of the curricula in which the doctorate is structured
The interview will also be aimed at assessing the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experience abroad, and scientific interests. **To this end, the candidate will illustrate the research project proposal submitted with the application during the interview.**

Interview method: remote/in person

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview evaluation criteria: knowledge of the subject matter; clarity of presentation; ability to critically illustrate and discuss the research project presented; aptitude for research and scientific autonomy; willingness to undertake research abroad; and the candidate's scientific interests.

In particular, interview scores will be awarded based on the following overall evaluation scale:
- from 29 to 30 points: excellent profit;
- from 28 to 28.9 points: almost excellent profit;
- from 27 to 27.9 points: more than distinguished profit;
- from 26 to 26.9 points: distinct profit;
- from 25 to 25.9 points: almost distinct profit;
- from 24 to 24.9 points: more than good profit;
- from 23 to 23.9 points: good profit;
- from 22 to 22.9 points: almost good profit;
- from 21 to 21.9 points: fully sufficient profit;

- from 20 to 20.9 points: more than sufficient profit;
- from 19 to 19.9 points: sufficient profit;
- up to 18.9 points: insufficient profit.

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

INGEGNERIA INDUSTRIALE E DELL'INFORMAZIONE - *INDUSTRIAL AND INFORMATION ENGINEERING*

(PhD in association with companies)

Course code	**DR207**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**9**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**2 scholarships** funded by the Department of Engineering
With University Scholarship	**3**
Positions reserved to employees of companies engaged in highly qualified activities (industrial doctorate)	**2 positions reserved to** RINA CONSULTING MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT CENTER employees **1 reserved position to** ART Spa employees **1 reserved position to** NCM Spa employees
resumes	1. Information Engineering 2. Industrial Engineering
In agreement	RINA CONSULTING MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT CENTER ART Spa NCM Spa
Research project	**YES mandatory in English**
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any documents submitted as part of the selection process have been prepared personally. Any use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial assistance and did not replace the candidate's independent scientific authorship or intellectual development of the content.
Coordinator	Prof. Giuseppe Liotta

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree pursuant** to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

University education: up to a maximum of 12 points, recognizing:

to the degree grade up to a maximum of 8 points, as assigned below:
Grade 110/110 with honors: 8 points
Score of 110/110: 7 points
Score equal to 109/110: 6 points
Score equal to 108/110: 5 points
Score equal to 107/110: 4 points
Score equal to 106/110: 3 points
Rating from 104/110 to 105/110: 2 points
Rating from 100/110 to 103/110: 1 point
Score lower than 100/110: 0 points

For candidates who earn the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, for whom the degree grade cannot be assessed, the Commission will evaluate the average grade of the exams taken, awarding up to a maximum of 10 points. Specifically, the average grade will be calculated, rounded to the nearest whole number;

Up to a maximum of 10 points will be awarded, as follows:

average equal to 30: 10 points
average equal to 29: 9 points
average equal to 28: 8 points
average between 26 and 27: 7 points
average between 24 and 25: 4 points
average below 24: 2 points

To the degree thesis:

up to a maximum of 4 points for a relevant degree thesis, recognizing:

- for a thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth, 4 points;
- for a good quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth, 2 points;
- for a thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 1 point.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, the thesis will be evaluated according to the above criteria, only if produced in a final version with the Supervisor's signature.

For candidates who have obtained or will obtain a degree from a foreign university, the degree grade and the grade point average will be measured in hundredths and thirtieths respectively.

Further educational paths and professional and research experiences:

up to a maximum of 5 points for further relevant training and professional and research experiences, recognizing:

- for each professional experience in the relevant teaching field: 1 point;

- for any professional experience in relevant scientific research: 3 points for research grants, scholarships, or contracts for research activities lasting at least one year. Grants, scholarships, and contracts of a shorter duration will be evaluated proportionally, rounded to the second decimal place;

- for additional training courses (such as, for example, a relevant second-level master's degree, relevant specialization, relevant qualification, participation in relevant conferences, research and development experience in a corporate setting): 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points.

Publications:

up to a maximum of 5 points for relevant publications, recognizing:

- For each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 3 points;
- For each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 2 points;
- For all other publications that do not belong to the previous categories: up to 1 point in total.

Research project:

up to a maximum of 8 points, recognizing:

- for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 8 points;
- for a research project of more than good quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 7 points;
- for a research project of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 6 points;
- for a research project of fair quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 5 points;
- for a research project of more than sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 4 points;
- for a research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 3 points.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 15/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

Interview topics: It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview method: in person/remote

Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/313653977697338?p=WKrFsbWFFmtrAshTVt
The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The Commission establishes the following criteria for evaluating the interview:

Knowledge of the subject matter; clarity of presentation; aptitude for research, presentation and discussion of the research project; willingness to undertake experience abroad; the candidate's scientific interests; and knowledge of the English language.

In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation ranking, as a result of the application of the above criteria:

30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

INTERNATIONAL DOCTORAL PROGRAM IN CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

(PhD in association with companies)

Course code	**DR208**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**8**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
With scholarship from the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering	**1**
Positions reserved to employees of companies engaged in highly qualified activities (industrial doctorate)	**1** reserved position to Tarkett spa employees **2** positions reserved to employees of Acegasapsamga spa **1** reserved position to CVR spa employees
In agreement	Tarkett spa Acegasapsamga spa CVR spa
Research project	**Not mandatory**
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that any papers submitted for selection purposes were personally written. Any use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content. The candidate declares that any documents submitted for the purposes of the selection procedure have been personally prepared by him/her. Any use of generative artificial intelligence tools has been limited to editorial support activities and has not replaced the candidate's independent scientific work on the content.
Coordinator	Professor Silvia Meniconi

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree in: 3/S “landscape architecture”, 4/S “architecture and building engineering”, 7/S “agricultural biotechnology”, 20/S “physics”, 27/S “chemical engineering”, 28/S “civil engineering”, 32/S “electronic engineering”, 36/S “mechanical engineering”, 38/S “environmental and territorial engineering”, 50/S “mathematical-physical modeling for engineering”, 61/S “materials science and engineering”, 62/S “chemical sciences”, 82/S environmental and territorial sciences and technologies, 86/S “geological sciences”, 103/S “theories and methods of industrial design”;

Master's Degree in: LM-3 “Landscape Architecture”, LM-4 “Architecture and Building Engineering-Architecture”, LM-4 cu “Architecture and Building Engineering-Architecture (five-year course)”, LM-7 “Agricultural Biotechnology”, LM-8- “Industrial Biotechnology”, LM-12 “Design”, LM-17 “Physics”, LM-22 “Chemical Engineering”, LM-23 “Civil Engineering”, LM-24 “Building Systems Engineering”, LM-26 “Safety Engineering”, LM-29 “Electronic Engineering”, LM-33 “Mechanical Engineering”, LM-35 “Environmental and Land Engineering”, LM-44 “Mathematical-physical modelling for engineering”, LM-53 “Materials Science and Engineering”, LM-54 “Chemical Sciences”, LM-69 - “Agricultural sciences and technologies” - LM-73 Forestry and environmental sciences and technologies”, LM-74 Geological sciences and technologies, LM-75 Sciences and technologies for the environment and the territory

Second **Degree Diploma** the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999, equated to the specialist and master's degrees indicated above, pursuant to the current regulations on equivalence for the purposes of participation in public competitions.

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixtieths: 30 + 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores: The evaluation is carried out on the basis of qualifications and the interview, with a maximum overall score of 60 points, of which 30 points for the evaluation of qualifications and 30 points for the interview.

The evaluation of qualifications takes into account the university education, any additional training, professional and research experience, as well as any scientific publications.

The maximum score that can be awarded for the qualifications is 30 points, broken down as follows:

- university education: up to 14 points;
- additional relevant educational backgrounds and professional and research experiences: up to 8 points;
- relevant scientific publications: up to 8 points.

In particular, within the university education program, the degree grade will be awarded up to a maximum of 9 points, according to the following ranking:

- degree grade of 110/110 with honors: 9 points;
- degree grade of 110/110: 8 points;
- degree grade between 105/110 and 109/110: 6 points;
- degree grade between 100/110 and 104/110: 4 points;
- degree grade between 90/110 and 99/110: 2 points;
- degree grade lower than 90/110: 0 points.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, and for whom it is not possible to evaluate their degree grade, the curricular average of the exams taken will be evaluated, assigning a score of up to a maximum of 7 points, according to the scheme relating to the degree grade reported above; to this end, the curricular average, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number **.**

The thesis, where relevant to the PhD topics, is awarded a score of up to a maximum of 5 points, according to the following ranking:

- thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth: 5 points;
- good quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth: 3 points;
- thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth: 1 point.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, the thesis will be evaluated according to the above criteria only if produced in a final version signed by the supervisor.

For further relevant educational backgrounds and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 8 points, the score is awarded according to the following criteria:

- for each relevant professional experience in the field of scientific research, such as research grants, research project scholarships or research contracts: 4 points;
- for other relevant experiences in scientific research and teaching, such as research collaborations, teaching and/or tutoring activities in university teaching, participation in conferences: 2 points;
- for each relevant training path, such as second-level master's degrees, specialist schools or training courses: 2 points;
- for each additional relevant training experience, such as professional qualifications or professional certifications: 1 point.

For relevant scientific publications, up to a maximum of 8 points, the score is awarded according to the following criteria:

- for each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance: 3 points;
- for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance: 2 points;
- for each publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance: 1 point.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Interview topics: It will focus on some specific topics

Specific interview topics: The interview will focus on the candidates' qualifications and the specific topics of the Doctorate in Civil and Environmental Engineering, with particular reference to the fields of civil and environmental engineering, advanced materials, design, and architecture. It will also assess the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake research experiences abroad, and scientific interests.

The interview will also assess the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake research experiences abroad, and scientific interests.

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 30/60

Interview method: remote/in person

Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/31717049728797?p=z2MzHJIN52FZ8IWsLQ

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, proficiency in one of the following languages will be assessed: English. To this end, passages may be prepared to be translated from English during the interview.

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The interview score, out of a maximum of 30 points, will be awarded based on: knowledge of the subject matter; clarity of presentation; aptitude for research; willingness to undertake experience abroad; and the candidate's scientific interests.
In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation ranking, as a result of the application of the above criteria:
30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria

17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

LEGALITÀ, CULTURE POLITICHE E DEMOCRAZIA - *LEGALITY, POLITICAL CULTURES AND DEMOCRACY*

Course code	**DR209**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**3**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
resumes	1. Legality 2. Political cultures 3. Democracy
Research project	**YES mandatory (no specific format for the editorial staff)**
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Prof. Vincenzo Sorrentino

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree pursuant** to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

University education: up to a maximum of 12 points overall, recognizing:

to the degree grade: up to a maximum of 8 points, as assigned below:

Grade 110/110 with honors: 8 points
Score of 110/110: 6 points
Score equal to 109/110: 5 points
Score equal to 108/110: 4 points
Score equal to 107/110: 3 points
Score equal to 106/110: 2 points
Score equal to 105/110: 1 point
Score lower than 105/110: 0 points

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, for whom it is not possible to evaluate the degree grade, the Commission will evaluate the average grade of the exams taken, awarding up to a maximum of 6 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

For the degree thesis (Master's or specialist degree or old system, only if produced by the candidates), up to a maximum of 4 points for each relevant degree thesis, recognizing:
- for a thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth, 4 points;
- for a good quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth, 2 points;
- for a thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 1 point.
For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, the thesis will be evaluated according to the above criteria, only if produced in a final version with the Supervisor's signature.

Additional educational paths and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 4 points for additional educational paths and relevant professional and research experiences, recognizing:
- for any professional experience in the relevant teaching field (tutoring, teaching support activities, responsibility for a university-level course), assessed in relation to the duration and level of direct commitment to the teaching activity: from 0.5
at 1.5;
- for each professional experience in the field of relevant scientific research (research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration) evaluated in relation to the duration and level of direct commitment to the research activity: from 1.5 to 2.5 points;
- for each additional training course (relevant second-level master's degree, relevant specialization, relevant qualification, participation in relevant conferences), assessed in relation to duration and relevance: 1 point.

Publications, up to a maximum of 2 points for relevant publications, recognizing:
- for each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 2 points;
- for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1 point;
- for each publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0.5 points.

Research project up to a maximum of 12 points, recognizing:
for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 12 points;
- for a research project of outstanding quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 11 points;
- for a research project of outstanding quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 10 points;
- for a research project of more than good quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 9 points;

- for a research project of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 8 points;
- for a research project of more than sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 7 points;
- for a research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 6 points;
- for a research project of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 5 points;
- for a research project of seriously insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 4 points;
- for a research project of poor quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 3 points;
- for a research project of seriously poor quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 2 points;
- for a research project of poor quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 1 point;
- for a research project of seriously lacking quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 0 points.

The overall evaluation of the qualifications, out of thirtieths, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 21/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 42/60

Interview topics : It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.
Specific topics on which the interview will focus: Research project submitted by the candidate and scientific/professional curriculum vitae; - assessment, starting from the discussion of the project, of the candidates' knowledge of the themes characterizing the Doctoral curricula; - assessment of the candidate's language proficiency (Italian, if the interview is held in English; English, if the interview is held in Italian).
The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview method: remote

Remote interview link: https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-join/19%3ameeting_M2FmZmI3ODEtYjBhZS00ZTc3LTg0ZDctMjRkZGViOWJmOWEy%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%22067e7d20-e70f-42c6-ae10-8b07e8c4a003%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%222d2a5673-8263-43b5-905c-8f6314d4aac1%22%7d

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English: To this end, part of the interview will be held in English.

For interviews held in English, basic knowledge of the Italian language will be assessed: to this end, part of the interview will be held in Italian.

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The interview will focus on:

- research project presented by the candidate and scientific/professional curriculum;
- assessment, starting from the discussion of the project, of the candidates' knowledge of the themes that characterize the Doctoral curricula;
- language assessment (Italian, if the interview is held in English; English, if the interview is held in Italian)

The interview has a maximum score of 30 points.
The Commission will use the following criteria to evaluate the interview: knowledge of the subject matter; clarity of presentation; aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experience abroad, and the candidate's scientific interests. Specifically, scores will be awarded based on the following overall evaluation ranking, based on the application of the above criteria:
30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria
The overall evaluation of the interview, out of thirty, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.** Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

MEDICINA CLINICA E MOLECOLARE - *CLINICAL AND MOLECULAR MEDICINE*

Course code	**DR210**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**5**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
With scholarship funded by Bios-Therapy, Physiological Systems For Health Spa	1 Scholarship for the topic "New therapeutic processes based on omics sciences and neuropsychology for the prevention and treatment of frailty in brain aging"
With scholarship from the Department of Medicine and Surgery	1 Scholarship for the topic "Autoimmune Ocular Pathologies and Secondary Lymphoproliferative Diseases"
resumes	1. Pathology and clinical aspects of arteriosclerosis and aging 2. Neuroscience 3. Surgical Sciences 4. Biotechnology in hematology, cell therapies and human bone marrow transplantation 5. Oncological radiotherapy
Research project	**YES mandatory -** The format is free, but the project must have a minimum of 5 pages and a maximum of 10
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.

Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	
Coordinator	Professor Maria Paola Martelli

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree pursuant** to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

University education up to a maximum of 8 points, recognizing:
to the degree grade:
up to a maximum of **6 points** , as awarded below:
Grade 110/110 with honors: 6 points
Score of 110/110: 5 points
Score equal to 109/110: 4 points
Score equal to 108/110: 3 points
Score equal to 107/110: 2 points
Score lower than 107/110: 1 point
Score lower than 100/110: 0 points

For candidates who earn the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, and for whom the degree grade cannot be assessed, the Commission will evaluate the average grade of the exams taken, awarding up to a maximum of 5 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

To the degree thesis,

up to a maximum of 2 points for a thesis related to the PhD course, recognizing:

- for a thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth, 2 points;
- for a good quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth, 1 point;
- for a thesis of lower quality in terms of scientific depth, compared to the previous points, 0 points.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, the thesis will be evaluated according to the above criteria, only if produced in a final version with the Supervisor's signature.

Further training courses and professional and research experiences

Only if correctly declared and/or documented by the candidates, the Commission will be able to proceed to identify a **maximum score of up to 3 points** as follows, recognizing:

- for professional experience in the teaching field: tutoring max 1 point
- for professional experience in relevant scientific research (research grants: max 1 point; scholarships and research projects: max 1 point; sub-/co-investigator positions in clinical trials: max 1 point; oral and poster/abstract presentations at national or international conferences: max 2 points)
- for further relevant training courses (Specializations: max 1 point; Second level Master's degree: max 1 point; Erasmus traineeships: max 1 point;)
- Participation in highly qualifying courses: max 1 point; Training internships in Italy and abroad: max 1 point)

Publications

Up to a maximum of 5 points for relevant publications only if attached in full by the candidates, as follows:

If the candidate submits more than three publications in international peer-reviewed journals deemed eligible for consideration within the scope of the Doctorate, they receive 5 points. If the candidate submits two to three publications in international peer-reviewed journals deemed eligible for consideration within the scope of the Doctorate, they receive 3 points. If the candidate submits one publication in an international peer-reviewed journal deemed eligible for consideration within the scope of the Doctorate, they receive 1 point.

Research project

The Commission will proceed to identify a maximum score, **up to 14 points** , to be awarded to the research project in terms of scientific depth and relevance to the Doctorate, as follows:
if of notable value, 14 points
if of excellent quality, 13 points
if of more than distinguished quality, 12 points
if of good quality, 11 points
if of almost distinct quality, 10 points
if of more than good quality, 9 points
if of good quality, 8 points
if of almost good quality, 7 points
if of sufficient quality, 6 points
Research projects that are NOT of sufficient quality or NOT relevant are excluded from the evaluation.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 9/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 30/60

Interview topics: It will focus on some specific topics
Specific topics on which the interview will focus: Topics addressed in the project presented by the candidate and topics related to self-funded scholarships
The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.
Interview method: in person/remote

Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/328059459052345?p=24UgdJTY56pctQYI52

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed:
English

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

30/30: excellent
29/30: almost excellent
28/30: more than distinguished
27/30: distinguished
26/30: almost distinct
25/30: more than good
24/30: good
23/30: almost good
22/30: more than sufficient
21/30: sufficient
20/30: insufficient
19/30: severely insufficient
18/30: poor
17/30: severely poor
16/30: deficient
15/30: severely deficient

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

MEDICINA E CHIRURGIA TRASLAZIONALE - *Translational Medicine and Surgery*

Course code	**DR211**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**4**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
With scholarship funded by the Department of Medicine and Surgery	**1** themed scholarship "Diabetology and Metabolism"
resumes	1) Translational medicine 2) Translational surgery 3) Translational dentistry
Research project	**YES mandatory** (no specific format for the editorial staff)
Coordinator	Professor Annibale Donini

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree in: 6/S "Biology", 8/S Industrial biotechnology, 9/S "Medical, veterinary and pharmaceutical biotechnology", 14/S "Pharmacy and industrial pharmacy", 26/S "Biomedical engineering", 46/S "Medicine and surgery", 69/S "Human nutrition sciences";

Master's Degree in: LM-6 "Biology", LM-8 Industrial Biotechnology, LM-9 "Medical, Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Biotechnology", LM-13 "Pharmacy and Industrial Pharmacy", LM-21 "Biomedical Engineering", LM-41 "Medicine and Surgery", LM-61 "Human Nutrition Sciences"

Second **Degree Diploma** the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999, equated to the specialist and master's degrees indicated above, pursuant to the current regulations on equivalence for the purposes of participation in public competitions.

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

The evaluation of qualifications will take into account the university education, any further educational paths and professional and research experiences and any scientific publications.

University education up to a maximum of 15 points, recognizing:

to the degree grade:
up to a maximum of 8 points, as awarded below:
Grade 110/110 with honors: 8 points
Score of 110/110: 7 points
Equal score from 106/110 to 109/110: 6 points
Equal score from 103/110 to 105/110: 5 points
Equal score from 100/110 to 102/110: 4 points
Equal score from 96/110 to 99/110: 3 points
Rating from 93/110 to 95/110: 2 points
Rating from 90/110 to 92/110: 1 point
Score less than or equal to 89/110: 0 points

For the thesis, only if produced by the candidates up to a maximum of 7 points for relevant thesis, recognizing:
for a thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth, 7 points;
for a good quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth, 5 points;
for a thesis of reasonable quality, in terms of scientific depth, 3 points
for a thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 1 point.

Additional educational paths and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 9 points for additional educational paths and professional experiences, with particular attention to relevant research experiences, only if correctly declared and documented by the candidates, recognizing:

- for any professional experience, relevant to the topics of the Doctoral curricula, in the teaching field (tutoring, teaching support activities) relevant: up to 1 point;

- for any professional experience, relevant to the topics of the Doctoral curricula, in the field of scientific research (research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration) relevant: up to 4 points;

- for any further training course (second level master's degree, specialization if acquired, qualification, training course, participation in scientific conferences, awards from scientific societies for contributions to conferences) relevant to the themes of the Doctoral curricula: up to 4 points

Publications, only if produced by the candidates, up to a maximum of 6 points for publications relevant to the topics of the Doctoral curricula, recognizing:

- for each publication of excellent/good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, in which the candidate is the first author, 2 points up to a maximum of 2 points;

- for each publication of excellent/good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, in which the candidate is not the first author, 1 point up to a maximum of 2 points;
- for each publication of fair/sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0.5 points up to a maximum of 1 point;
- for each publication of little relevance or publications in the form of abstracts presented at conferences, 0.5 points for a maximum of 1 point.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 9/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 30/60

Interview topics: It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.

The interview will also assess the candidate's aptitude for translational research, willingness to undertake research experience abroad, and scientific interests. Candidates are required to develop a research project proposal, which must be submitted along with their application, and which they will present during the interview. The project will be evaluated with a maximum of 10 points.

Interview Procedure: The interview will be conducted in person, although videoconferencing is available for those who specifically request it.

Link for remote interview: will be provided if there are requests

Language of the interview: Italian

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview evaluation criteria: knowledge of the subject matter; clarity of presentation; aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experience abroad, and the candidate's scientific interests.

In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation ranking, as a result of the application of the above criteria:

•30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
•29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
•28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
•27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
•26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
•25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
•24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
•23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
•22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
•21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
•20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
•19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
•18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
•17/30: severely poor performance in relation to the above criteria
•16/30: poor performance in relation to the above criteria
•15/30: seriously deficient profit in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

MEDICINA E TERAPIE SPERIMENTALI - *EXPERIMENTAL MEDICINE AND THERAPIES*

(PhD in association with companies)

Course code	**DR 225**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**7**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
With scholarship funded by Bios-Therapy, Physiological Systems For Health Spa	**1** themed scholarship "OMIC Sciences for the Study and Treatment of Ocular Pathologies", Curriculum: "Integrative Biology of the Host-Pathogen Relationship".
Positions reserved to employees of companies engaged in highly qualified activities (industrial doctorate)	**2** positions reserved to SANOFI SPA employees **1** reserved position to OM Pharma Sa (Vifor) employees
In Convention	SANOFI SPA OM PHARMA SA (VIFOR)
resumes	1. INTEGRATIVE BIOLOGY OF THE HOST-PATHOGEN RELATIONSHIP 2. INTEGRATED BIOLOGICAL STRATEGIES IN GENETIC, IMMUNOMETABOLIC AND ONCOLOGICAL DISEASES
Research project	**YES mandatory - research project proposal in English (max 2000 words) to be submitted together with the application to participate in the competition, which the candidate will illustrate during the interview.**
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools	**The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.**

Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	
Coordinator	Professor Roberta Spaccapelo

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree** pursuant to Ministerial Decree 270/2004 **, Degree certificate** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL** .

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores: Criteria for the evaluation of qualifications of the XLII doctoral cycle

Evaluation of titles for which a maximum score of 30 points is reserved.

University education path:
up to a maximum of 12 points , recognizing **:**
to the degree grade: up to a maximum of 9 points, as assigned below:
Grade 110/110 with honors: 9 points
Score of 110/110: 8 points
Score equal to 109/110: 7 points
Score equal to 108/110: 6 points
Score equal to 107/110: 5 points
Score equal to 106/110: 4 points
Rating from 104/110 to 105/110: 3 points
Rating from 100/110 to 103/110: 2 points
Score lower than 100/110: 1 point

The degree grade of candidates who graduated abroad will be calculated based on the documentation provided by the candidates and the country in which the degree was obtained, using the following consultation tool:

1. University systems database: available at https://www.cimea.it/pagina-banca-dati-dei-sistemi-universitari.

For candidates who earn the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, for whom it is not possible to evaluate the degree grade, the Commission will evaluate the average grade of the exams taken, awarding up to a maximum of 9 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

To the degree thesis,

up to a maximum of 3 points for a relevant degree thesis, recognizing:

- for a highly relevant and high-quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth, 3 points;

- for a degree thesis of average relevance and quality, in terms of scientific depth, 2 points;
- for a sufficiently relevant and high-quality thesis in terms of scientific depth, 1 point.
For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, their thesis will be evaluated according to the above criteria, only if produced in a final version with the supervisor's signature.

Additional training courses and relevant professional and research experiences up to a maximum of 4 points for additional training courses and relevant professional and research experiences, recognizing:
– for any documented and relevant experience in university teaching (tutoring of undergraduate and graduate students, subject tutoring, supplementary teaching activity): 0.1 point for each experience, up to a maximum of 0.3 points;
– for any documented and relevant professional experience in scientific research in an academic or non-academic context of at least 3 months (research grant, scholarship, research collaboration, fixed-term contracts): 0.5 points for each experience, up to a maximum of 2 points;
– for each additional educational path (additional three-year degree and/or first-level master's degree, second-level master's degree, qualification, relevant training courses, relevant research experience abroad (Erasmus)), documented and relevant: 0.5 points per educational path, up to a maximum of 1.5 points.
– for each additional documented and relevant medical training course (specialization): 0.1 point per course, up to a maximum of 0.2 points.

Publications

up to a maximum of 4 points for relevant publications and abstracts, recognizing:

- to any publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, with the following requirements:
or IF > 5 in magazine in Q1 points 2
or IF > 5 in magazine in Q2 points 1.8
or IF > 5 in magazine in Q3 1.5 points
- to any publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance with the following requirements
or IF 1.5 – 5 in magazine in Q1 points 1.8
or IF 1.5 – 5 in magazine in Q2 points 1.5
or IF 1.5 – 5 in magazine in Q3 points 1.2
- to any publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance with the following requirements
or IF <1.5 in magazine in Q1 points 1.5
or IF <1.5 in magazine in Q2 points 1.3
or IF <1.5 5 in magazine in Q3 points 1.0
The impact factor will be evaluated based on the year of publication of the scientific work.
Only abstracts presented at national or international conferences will be evaluated, as first or last name: 0.2 points per abstract
For non-bibliometric sectors, recognizing the following scores:
- for each excellent quality monograph: 2 points;
- for each good quality scientific article: 1.5 points;
- for each good quality book chapter: 0.3 points;
- Abstracts presented at national or international conferences: 0.2 points.

Research project

up to a maximum of 10 points, recognizing:

- for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 10 points;

- for a research project of almost excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 9 points;
- for a research project of outstanding quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 8 points;
- for a research project of outstanding quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 7 points;
- for a research project of almost excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 6 points;
- for a research project of more than good quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 5 points;
- For a good quality research project, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 4 points;
- for a research project of almost good quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 3 points;
- for a research project of more than sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 2 points;
- for a research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 1 point.

For projects submitted in Italian, the Commission will still evaluate the work, taking this factor into account when assigning scores.

The overall evaluation of the qualifications, out of thirtieths, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 30/60

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.
The interview will focus on randomly selected questions, designed to assess the skills acquired during their professional experience in both academic and non-academic fields. The interview requires the candidate to present the research project submitted with the application via a PowerPoint presentation in English (maximum 5 slides). The project presentation must last no more than 5 minutes, followed by a discussion on the project topics presented.

Interview method: in person/remote

Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/342745875754365?p=Q1YPB30H3ABanxPHIg
The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The Commission establishes the following criteria for evaluating the interview:

Knowledge of the subject matter; clarity of presentation; aptitude for research, presentation and discussion of the research project; willingness to undertake experience abroad; the candidate's scientific interests; and knowledge of the English language.

In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation ranking, as a result of the application of the above criteria:
30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria

The overall evaluation of the interview, out of thirty, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

SANITÀ E SCIENZE SPERIMENTALI VETERINARIE - *VETERINARY HEALTH AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES*

(PhD in association with companies)

Course code	**DR214**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**5**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
Positions reserved to employees of companies engaged in highly qualified activities (industrial doctorate)	**1** reserved position to employees of the Experimental Zooprophylactic Institute of Piedmont, Liguria and Valle d'Aosta **1** reserved position to employees of the Experimental Zooprophylactic Institute of Umbria and Marche "Togo Rosati"
In Convention	• Experimental Zooprophylactic Institute of Piedmont, Liguria, and the Aosta Valley • Experimental Zooprophylactic Institute of Umbria and Marche "Togo Rosati"
resumes	1) Biotechnology applied to veterinary sciences 2) Livestock farming, veterinary public health, hygiene and food quality 3) Clinical Sciences and Veterinary Diagnostics
Research project	**YES mandatory (no specific format for the editorial staff)**
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally written. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content. Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application.

Coordinator	Professor Trabalza Marinucci Massimo

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree** pursuant to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

"Evaluation of securities:

Degree grade up to a maximum of 20 points:

110L: 20
110:19
109:18
108:17
107:16
106:15
105:14
104:13
103:12
102:11
101:10
100:9
99:8
< 99: 0
Degree in a foreign university: formula for converting the degree grade published by the MIUR (http://attiministeriali.miur.it/media/240734/allegato_5.pdf) and web page (https://www.scholaro.com/pro/Countries/) for the minimum and maximum values of the degree grade in the foreign universities of origin

Candidates with the required qualification but without a degree grade:
curricular average: >29: 18 points
29-28: 17 points
27-26: 16 points
< 26: 0 points

Additional training courses and professional research experiences up to a maximum of 5 points:

training periods and research internships in Italy and abroad: 0.25 points per month if in Italy or 0.50 points per month if abroad;
professional training courses in the field of research: 0.1 points per course;
research grants: 1 point per year;
scholarships and/or contracts: 0.25 points per month if in Italy or 0.50 points per month if abroad;

additional educational path (second level university master's degree, specialized diploma, other relevant degree): 1 point per year.

Relevant publications up to a maximum of 5 points:

International refereed journal: 1.0 point
National journal with referee: 0.5 points
Full conference proceedings: 0.25 points

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 10/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 31/60

Interview Procedure: The interview will be conducted in person. Only foreign candidates may request a videoconference interview.

Remote interview link (for international candidates only): https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/381255716079903?p=eFl94mItKm1UhJE8cM

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The interview will focus on the doctoral curriculum topics, through topics randomly selected from three pools (one pool per curriculum). It will also assess the candidate's aptitude for research (including through the discussion of their thesis), their willingness to undertake research experience abroad, and their scientific interests. The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be conducted in English. For interviews conducted in Italian, English language proficiency will be assessed through an assessment of their understanding of a scientific text in English. Candidates are also required to develop a research project proposal, which must be submitted with their application. The candidate will present and discuss the project with the committee during the interview, using a presentation of up to five slides.

The interview evaluation criteria are as follows: knowledge of the subject matter and clarity of presentation, including in relation to the project presented; aptitude for research; willingness to undertake experience abroad; and the candidate's scientific interests.

In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation ranking, as a result of the application of the above criteria:

30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

SCIENZE BIOLOGICHE E NATURALI - *BIOLOGICAL AND NATURAL SCIENCES*

Course code	**DR215**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**4**
of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With university scholarships	**2**
With scholarship funded by Bios-Therapy, Physiological Systems For Health Spa	**1** scholarship for the topic “A sustainable and innovative strategy to combat antibiotic resistance: a global health challenge" (Vital Processes and Human Well-being curriculum)
resumes	1) Vital processes and human well-being 2) Organism-environment interaction
Research project	**YES mandatory - The project can be written in Italian or English according to the following format:** **Applicant's Name; Project Title; State of the Art; Objectives; Materials and Methods; Timeline; Bibliography. The project must not exceed 10,000 characters.**
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Professor Manuela Rebora

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree** pursuant to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

University education, up to a maximum of 6 points, recognizing:

Degree grade: up to a maximum of 3 points, awarded as follows:

Grade 110/110 with honors: 3 points
Score of 110/110: 2.5 points –
Score equal to 109/110: 2 points –
Score equal to 108/110: 2 points –
Score equal to 107/110: 1 point –
Score equal to 106/110: 1 point –
Score equal to 105/110: 0.5 points
Score equal to 104/110: 0.5 points
Score lower than 104/110: 0 points

For candidates whose degree grade cannot be assessed, the Commission will evaluate the average grade of the exams taken, awarding up to a maximum of 3 points; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number. For candidates who graduated from a foreign university, the Commission will use the grade conversion system reported in Annex 2 to MIUR Decree No. 859 of 02_05_2019.

Master's Thesis, up to a maximum of 3 points per master's thesis, only if produced by the candidates and relevant to the topics of the Doctorate, recognizing:

- for a degree thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth, 3 points;
- for a good quality degree thesis, in terms of scientific depth, 2 points;
- for a degree thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 1 point;
- for degree theses of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 0 points.

Additional training and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 2 points, only if relevant and correctly declared and documented by the candidates, recognizing:

- Professional experience in teaching, such as tutoring and teaching support for subjects related to the Doctorate: maximum 0.5 points; (Please note that being a subject expert will not be considered in this context, as this qualification cannot be formalized in any type of professional experience in a contractual proposal.)
- for professional experience in scientific research relevant to the topics of the Doctorate, such as research grants, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration: maximum 1 point;
- for further training courses related to the topics of the Doctorate, such as second-level master's degrees, specialization schools, professional qualifications: maximum 0.5 points;

Publications, up to a maximum of 2 points for publications in international scientific journals, only if produced by the candidates and relevant to the PhD topics, recognizing in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance:

- for publications of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 2 points
- for publications of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1 point;
- for publications of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0.5 points;

Research project, up to a maximum of 20 points, only if produced by the candidate and, recognizing, in terms of relevance to one of the themes of the doctoral curricula, scientific depth (presentation of the state of the art, clarity of objectives, relevance of expected results) and aptitude for research (appropriateness and feasibility of the proposed methodologies and timeframes):

- to the excellent quality research project, 20 points;
- to the research project of excellent quality, 15 points;
- to the good quality research project, 10 points;
- to the research project of reasonable quality, 7 points;
- to the research project of sufficient quality, 4 points;
- for a research project of insufficient quality, 0 points

The overall evaluation of the qualifications, out of thirtieths, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 15/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

Interview topics: It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.

Specific topics on which the interview will focus:

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview method: remote

Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/389949964096099?p=upUNYmZ4y7L7uVYLkX

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview evaluation criteria: knowledge of the subject matter; clarity of presentation; aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experience abroad, and the candidate's scientific interests. Specifically, scores will be awarded based on the following overall evaluation ranking, based on the application of the above criteria:

30/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
28/30: more than distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: seriously insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

SCIENZE CHIMICHE - *CHEMICAL SCIENCES*

Course code	**DR216**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**4**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
With scholarships funded by the SMAART Center of Excellence	**1** Scholarship for the topic "Spectroscopic study of artistic dyes and pigments on protein and cellulose substrates."
resumes	1) Spectroscopy, chemical kinetics and molecular dynamics 2) Methods and materials for catalysis, energy, environment and cultural heritage 3) Theoretical Chemistry and Computational Modeling
Research project	**YES mandatory** (no specific format for the editorial staff)
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	If the candidate used generative artificial intelligence tools to prepare the research project submitted for selection, the use made of these AI tools must be explicitly stated.
Coordinator	Professor Loredana Latterini

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree in: 7/S “Agricultural Biotechnology”, 8/S “Industrial Biotechnology”, 9/S “Medical, Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Biotechnology”, 14/S “Pharmacy and Industrial Pharmacy”, 61/S “Materials Science and Engineering”, 62/S “Chemical Sciences”, 81/S

“Science and Technology of Industrial Chemistry”; **Master’s degree in:** LM-7 “Agricultural Biotechnology”, LM-8 “Industrial Biotechnology”, LM-9 “Medical, Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Biotechnology”, LM-13 “Pharmacy and Industrial Pharmacy”, LM-53 – “Materials Science and Engineering”, LM-54 “Chemical Sciences”, LM-71 “Science and Technology of Industrial Chemistry”;

Second **Degree Diploma** the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999, equated to the specialist and master's degrees indicated above, pursuant to the current regulations on equivalence for the purposes of participation in public competitions.

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

The evaluation of qualifications will take into account the candidate's university education, any additional training, professional experience, and research, as well as any scientific publications. The evaluation will also include the candidate's development of a research project to be developed over the three-year period on one of the topics covered by the doctoral program.

University education, up to a maximum of 10 points:

For the Master's Degree grade - up to a maximum of 6 points, as assigned below:

Grade 110-110/110 with honors: 6 points
Score equal to 105-109/110: 5 points
Score equal to 102-104/110: 4 points
Score equal to 99-101/110: 3 points
Score equal to 96-98/110: 2 points
Score equal to 93-95/110: 1 point
Score lower than 93/110: 0 points

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, and for whom it is not possible to evaluate their degree grade, the average grade of the exams taken will be evaluated, awarding up to a maximum of 6 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported. To this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number:

Average curricular grade equal to 104-110/110: 6 points
Average curricular grade equal to 102-103/110: 5 points
Average curricular grade equal to 99-101/110: 4 points
Average curricular grade equal to 96-98/110: 3 points
Average curricular grade equal to 93-95/110: 2 points
Average curricular grade equal to 90-92/110: 1 point
Average curricular grade lower than 90/110: 0 points

For Master's thesis,

up to a maximum of 2 points for a relevant degree thesis, recognizing:

- for a thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth, 2 points;
-1.5 points for a good quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth;
-1 point for a thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, their thesis will be evaluated according to the above criteria, only if produced in a final version with the supervisor's signature or an equivalent method.

For the Bachelor's Degree grade:

up to a maximum of 2 points, as awarded below:

Grade equal to 108 - 110/110 with honors: 2 points
Score equal to 99-107/110: 1 point
Score lower than 99/110: 0 points
For the single-cycle degree, up to a maximum of 8 points, as assigned below:
Grade 108-110/110 with honors: 8 points
Score equal to 106-108/110: 7 points
Score equal to 103-105/110: 6 points
Score equal to 99-102/110: 5 points
Score equal to 95-98/110: 3 points
Score equal to 92-94/110: 1 point
Score lower than 92/110: 0 points

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, for whom it is not possible to evaluate their degree grade, the average grade of the exams taken will be evaluated, awarding up to a maximum of 8 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported. To this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number:

Average curricular grade equal to 104-110/110: 8 points
Average curricular grade equal to 102-103/110: 7 points
Average curricular grade equal to 99-101/110: 6 points
Average curricular grade equal to 96-98/110: 5 points
Average curricular grade equal to 93-95/110: 3 points
Average curricular grade equal to 90-92/110: 1 point
Average curricular grade lower than 90/110: 0 points

Additional relevant educational backgrounds and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 3 points:

- for any professional experience in the teaching field and relevant to the doctoral program (tutoring, teaching support activities), 1 point;

- for any professional experience in the field of scientific research and relevant to the doctoral program (research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration, internship), 1 point;

- for any additional training courses related to the doctoral program (second-level master's degree and/or specialization school, relevant specialization, Erasmus placement or related courses abroad, relevant qualification (state exam)), 1 point.

Publications and conference activities, up to a maximum of 2 points for publications relevant to the doctoral program:

- for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1 point.
-0.25 points for each communication at the conference.

Research project, up to a maximum of 15 points:
-15 points for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude;
-for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 14 points;
-12 points for a research project of outstanding quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude;
-10 points for a research project of more than good quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude;
-9 points for a good quality research project, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude;
-7 points for a research project of reasonable quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research;
-5 points for a research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude;
-for a research project of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 0 points

Minimum score to pass the interview: 20/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

Interview topics: The interview will focus on the topics of the doctoral curriculum and the presentation of the research project. To this end, the candidate is required to develop a research project proposal, which must be submitted with the application, and which the candidate will present during the interview.

Specific topics on which the interview will focus: Scientific illustration of the research project presented and its relevance to the topics of the doctoral course

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview method: Remote
Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/33828753828988?p=ji85uUddEMiAd9PGsX

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview evaluation criteria: knowledge of the subject and clarity of presentation.

In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation scale, based on the application of the above criteria:
30/30: Excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
29/30: excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
28/30: almost excellent profit in relation to the above criteria
27/30: distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
26/30: almost distinct profit in relation to the above criteria
25/30: more than good profit in relation to the above criteria
24/30: good profit in relation to the above criteria
23/30: almost good profit in relation to the above criteria
22/30: more than sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
21/30: sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
20/30: barely sufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
19/30: insufficient profit in relation to the above criteria
18/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
17/30: severely poor profit in relation to the above criteria
16/30: poor profit in relation to the above criteria
15/30: seriously lacking profit in relation to the above criteria
14/30 or lower: more than seriously deficient performance in relation to the above criteria

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

SCIENZE FARMACEUTICHE - *PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES*

(PhD in association with companies)

Course code	**DR218**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**11**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
With scholarship funded by Bios-Therapy, Physiological Systems For Health Spa	**1 themed scholarship** Precision Nutrition in Real-World Medicine: Towards a New Paradigm of Lifestyle Personalization via Multi-Omic Tools for Identifying and Modulating Physiological Status **1 themed scholarship** Comparative Analysis of Artificial and Natural Molecules: Synthesis Energy and Biodegradability
With scholarship funded by Molecular Discovery Ltd.	**1 scholarship on the topic** "Development and application of Artificial Intelligence methods to support drug design"
With scholarship funded by Sterling SPA and the Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences	**1 scholarship from the topic "** Leveraging microfluidic technology for the development of biohybrid-biomimetic nanoparticles"; financed 50% by Sterling Spa, and 50% by funds relating to the European project "AVITHRAPID – Therapeutics for Rapid Response Against Pandemic Viral Infectious Diseases", U-GOV project acronym: 4AVITHRAPID_SG, Grant Agreement n. 101137192, CUP: J93C25001830006
Positions reserved to employees of companies engaged in highly qualified activities (industrial doctorate)	**1** reserved position to ITEL TELECOMUNICAZIONI SRL employees **1** reserved position to MAGI'S Lab employees **1** reserved position to Janssen-Cilag SpA-Cilag SpA employees **1** reserved position to Irtal employees

Conventions	MAGI'S LAB ITEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS SrL Janssen-Cilag SpA-Cilag SpA Irtal
resumes	1) Early Phase Drug Discovery 2) PHARMACEUTICAL TECHNOLOGY AND NUTRACEUTICALS
Research project	**YES mandatory** the project must not exceed 8000 characters (including spaces) For more details on the research topics, please visit the website: https://dsf.unipg.it/didattica/alta formazione/dottorato-di-ricerca; open call 2026-2027.
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Professor Oriana Tabarrini

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree in: 6/S “Biology”, 8/S Industrial Biotechnology, 9/S “Medical, Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Biotechnology”, 14/S “Pharmacy and Industrial Pharmacy”, 62/S “Chemical Sciences”, 69/S “Human Nutrition Sciences”, 78/S “Agri-food Sciences and Technologies”; **Master's degree in: :** LM/6 “Biology”, LM/8 Industrial biotechnology, LM/9 “Medical, veterinary and pharmaceutical biotechnology”, LM/13 “Pharmacy and industrial pharmacy”, LM/54 “Chemical sciences”, LM/61 “Human nutrition sciences”, LM/70 “Food science and technology”;

Second **Degree Diploma** the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999, equated to the specialist and master's degrees indicated above, pursuant to the current regulations on equivalence for the purposes of participation in public competitions.

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Please indicate any differentiated tests: Scholarships financed by external funds; Industrial positions

Detail differentiated tests: two rankings are foreseen: one for scholarships funded by the University, and one for scholarships funded by external funds and industrial reserves.

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores for UNIVERSITY scholarships

Evaluation of qualifications up to a maximum of 30 points

UNIVERSITY EDUCATIONAL COURSE

up to a maximum of 9 points, recognizing the degree grade as attributed below:

Grade 110/110 with honors: 9 points
Score of 110/110: 8 points
Score equal to 106-109/110: 7 points
Score equal to 102-105/110: 6 points
Score equal to 98-101/110: 5 points
Score equal to 94-97/110: 4 points
Score equal to 90-93/110: 3 points
Score lower than 90/110: 2 points

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, for whom it is not possible to evaluate the degree grade, the Commission will evaluate the average grade of the exams taken, awarding up to a maximum of 8 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

FURTHER EDUCATIONAL COURSES AND PROFESSIONAL AND RESEARCH EXPERIENCES
up to a maximum of 4 points for further training courses and relevant professional and research experiences, recognizing:

a) for each professional experience in the teaching field (tutoring, teaching support activities), 0.5 points;

b) for any professional experience in the field of scientific research (research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration), 1 point;

c) for each further training course (relevant second level master's degree, relevant specialization, relevant qualification, post-graduate Erasmus+), 1 point.

d) participation in conferences and workshops, 0.25 points

PUBLICATIONS
up to a maximum of 2 points for relevant publications, recognizing:
a) for each peer-view publication in international scientific journals, 1 point;
b) for each abstract presentation at national and international conferences, 0.5 point.

RESEARCH PROJECT

Project evaluation based on scientific content, structure, and activity planning, in relation to the call for proposals, up to a maximum of 15 points:

• excellent quality, 15 points;
• excellent quality, 14 points;
• more than distinguished quality, 13 points;
• quality list, 12 points;
• almost distinct quality, 11 points;
• more than good quality, 10 points;
• good quality, 9 points;
• almost good quality, 8 points;
• fair quality, 7 points;
• sufficient quality and/or not relevant to the PhD topics, 6 points.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 16/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 18/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

Interview topics: The interview will focus on the topics of the doctoral program. The candidate will also present their research project proposal.
The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.
Interview method: in person/remote

Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/369770321898597?p=dIg64NKP1YXRDCjXGv

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview Evaluation Criteria

Interview evaluation up to a maximum of 30 points

The following criteria are established for the evaluation of the interview: knowledge of the topics covered in the doctoral curricula; clarity of presentation; aptitude for research; willingness to undertake experience abroad; and the candidate's scientific interests.

In particular, scores will be awarded on the basis of the following overall evaluation ranking, as a result of the application of the above criteria:

30/30: excellent profit
29/30: excellent profit
28/30: almost excellent profit
27/30: distinct profit

26/30: almost distinct profit
25/30: very good profit
24/30: good profit
23/30: almost good profit
22/30: more than decent profit
21/30: decent profit
20/30: almost decent profit
19/30: more than sufficient profit
18/30: sufficient profit
17/30: almost sufficient profit
16/30: Lack of profit
15/30: severely lacking profit

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and interview - Scholarships funded by external bodies and scholarships reserved to company employees

Evaluation of qualifications up to a maximum of 30 points

UNIVERSITY EDUCATIONAL COURSE

up to a maximum of 7 points, recognizing the degree grade as attributed below:

Grade 110/110 with honors: 7 points
Score of 110/110: 6 points
Score equal to 107-109/110: 5 points
Score equal to 104-106/110: 4 points
Score equal to 100-103/110: 3 points
Score lower than 99/110: 2 points

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission by October 31, 2026, for whom it is not possible to evaluate the degree grade, the Commission will evaluate the average grade of the exams taken, awarding up to a maximum of 6 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

FURTHER EDUCATIONAL COURSES AND PROFESSIONAL AND RESEARCH EXPERIENCES
up to a maximum of 4 points for further training courses and relevant professional and research experiences, recognizing:

a) for each professional experience in the teaching field (tutoring, teaching support activities), 0.5 points;
b) for any professional experience in the field of scientific research (research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration), 1 point;
c) for each further training course (relevant second level master's degree, relevant specialization, relevant qualification, post-graduate Erasmus+), 1 point.
d) participation in conferences and workshops, 0.25 points

PUBLICATIONS
up to a maximum of 2 points for relevant publications, recognizing:
a) for each peer-view publication in international scientific journals, 2 points;
b) for each abstract presentation at national and international conferences, 1 point.

RESEARCH PROJECT

Evaluation of the project based on the scientific content, structure and planning of activities, in relation to the presented topic, up to a maximum of 17 points

• excellent quality, 17 points;
• excellent quality, 16 points;
• more than distinguished quality, 15 points;
• quality distinction, 14 points;
• almost distinct quality, 13 points;
• more than good quality, 12 points;
• good quality, 11 points;
• almost good quality, 10 points;
• fair quality, 9 points;
• almost fair quality, 8 points;
• sufficient quality and/or not relevant to the PhD topics, 7 points.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 18/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 18/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

Interview topics: The interview will focus on the topics of the doctoral program. The candidate will also present their research project proposal.
The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.
Interview procedure: Remotely/In person

Link for remote interview:
https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/369770321898597?p=dIg64NKP1YXRDCjXGv

Interview language: Italian/English

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview Evaluation Criteria

Interview evaluation up to a maximum of 30 points
The following criteria are established for the evaluation of the interview: knowledge of the topics covered in the doctoral curricula; clarity of presentation; aptitude for research; willingness to undertake experience abroad; and the candidate's scientific interests.

30/30: excellent profit
29/30: excellent profit
28/30: almost excellent profit
27/30: distinct profit
26/30: almost distinct profit
25/30: very good profit

24/30: good profit
23/30: almost good profit
22/30: more than decent profit
21/30: decent profit
20/30: almost decent profit
19/30: more than sufficient profit
18/30: sufficient profit
17/30: almost sufficient profit
16/30: Lack of profit
15/30: severely lacking profit

Interview diary

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

SCIENZE GIURIDICHE - *LEGAL SCIENCES*

Course code	**DR219**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**3**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
resumes	1. INTERNAL AND SUPRANATIONAL PUBLIC LAW 2. PERSON, MARKET AND NEW TECHNOLOGIES 3. THEORY, HISTORY AND COMPARISON OF LAW
Research project	**YES mandatory** (no specific format for the editorial staff)
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Prof. Giorgio Repetto

<u>Degrees required for admission</u>

Master's degree in: 1/S “Cultural Anthropology and Ethnology”, 5/S Archives and Library Science, 18/S “Theoretical, Moral, Political and Aesthetic Philosophy”, 21/S “Geography **”,** 22/S “Law”, 54/S “Territorial, urban and environmental planning”, 60/S “International relations”, 64/S “Economics”, 70/S “Political sciences”, 71/S “Public administration sciences”, 83/S “Economic sciences for the environment and culture”, 84/S “Business economics”, 88/S “Sciences for development cooperation”, 89/S “Sociology”, 93/S “Ancient history”, 94/S “Contemporary history”, 96/S “History of philosophy”, 97/S “Medieval history”, 98/S “Modern history”, 99/S “European studies”, 102/S “Theory and techniques of standardization and legal information”, “LMG/01 “Law,

Master's Degree in: LM-1 “Cultural Anthropology and Ethnology”, LM-5 Archives and Library Science, LM-48 “Territorial, Urban and Environmental Planning”, LM-52 “International Relations”, LM-56 “Economics”, LM-62 “Political Sciences”, LM-63 “Public Administration Sciences”, LM-64 Religious Sciences, LM-66 Computer Security, LM-76 “Economic Sciences for the Environment and Culture”, LM-77 “Economic and Business Sciences”, LM-78 “Philosophical Sciences”, LM-81 “Sciences for Development Cooperation”, LM-84 “Historical Sciences”, LM-88 “Sociology and Social Research”, LM-90 “European Studies”, LM/SC_Criminological Sciences Applied to Investigation and Security, LM/SC-GIUR Legal Sciences, LMG/01 “Jurisprudence”;

Second **Degree Diploma** the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999, equated to the specialist and master's degrees indicated above, pursuant to the current regulations on equivalence for the purposes of participation in public competitions.

Selection procedure for graduates from Italian universities: Evaluation of qualifications, written test and interview (out of ninety: 30 + 30 + 30)

Differentiated tests for graduates from foreign universities (Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30 + 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores for candidates competing with an entrance qualification obtained from Italian universities, the selection procedure will be carried out according to the following method:

Evaluation of qualifications and project, written test and interview (out of ninety: 30 + 30 +30).

The evaluation of qualifications, in addition to the university education, any additional training, professional and research experience, and any scientific publications, will also include a three-year research project, developed and presented by each candidate, focusing on one of the following topics from the doctoral curricula:

1) INTERNAL AND SUPRANATIONAL PUBLIC LAW
- Public law
- Civil and criminal procedural law
- International and European Union Law
2) PERSON, MARKET AND NEW TECHNOLOGIES
- Human rights
- Commercial and labor law
- New technology law
3) THEORY, HISTORY AND COMPARISON OF LAW
- History of law
- Philosophy and sociology of law
- Comparative law

University training course up to a maximum of 12, recognizing:

to the degree grade: up to a maximum of 9 points, as assigned below:
Grade of 110 cum laude: 9 points
Score between 108 and 110: 8 points

Score equal to 105 and 107: 7 points
Score equal to 102-104: 6 points
Score equal to 97-101: 5 points
Score equal to 90-96: 4 points
Score equal to 84-89: 3 points
Vote equal to 79-83: 2 points
Score equal to 66-78: 1 point

for the thesis: up to a maximum of 3 points, distributed as follows on the basis of the scientific depth of the thesis : sufficient: 0.5 points, fair 1 point, good 1.5 points, distinguished 2 points, excellent
2.5 points, excellent 3 points.
For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, and for whom the degree grade cannot be assessed, the average grade of the exams taken will be assessed, awarding up to a maximum of 12 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.
For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission after the application deadline, their thesis will be evaluated according to the above criteria, only if produced in a final version with the supervisor's signature.
Further training courses and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 2 points, recognizing:

- for professional experiences in the relevant **teaching field** : up to 0.5 points.
Points will be assigned to the different types of experiences according to the following criteria:
- University or higher education teaching positions duly documented: 0.5 points
- Duly proven university tutoring: 0.25 points
- Any other professional experience in the field of university teaching or related higher education provided that it is accompanied by a duly proven contractual formalization: 0.25
-for professional experience in the field of relevant **scientific research** : up to 1 point.
Points will be assigned to the different types of experiences, provided they are duly proven, according to the following criteria:
- Research grant: 1 point;
- Participation in international conferences following selection procedures: 0.75 points;
- Postgraduate scholarships awarded following selective procedures: 0.5 points.
- **for each additional relevant training course: 0.5 points.**
Points will be assigned to the different types of experiences, provided they are duly proven, according to the following criteria:
- Diploma from a specialized school for legal professions: 0.5 points;
- Second level Master's degree: 0.5 points;
- Higher education or specialization course: 0.5 points;
- First level Master's degree or university specialization course: 0.25 points;
- Selective training internships at public bodies and institutions: 0.25 points.

Publications up to a maximum of 4 points (up to a maximum of 2 points for each publication) recognizing:
- for each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 2 points;
- for each publication of more than good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1.5 points
- for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1 point;
- for each publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0.5 points;
- for each publication of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0 points.

The evaluation of the quality of publications will be carried out taking into account:
1) with regard to scientific depth: the coherence of the approach and the correctness of the logical-legal method employed, the innovative nature of the topics addressed, the clarity of the exposition and the identification of the objectives;
2) with regard to editorial relevance: the national or international standing of the publishing house, the proven presence of scientific evaluation procedures, the possible presence of the ISSN code (if periodical) or ISBN (if monograph), the category of the periodical journal and its scientific nature according to the most recent provisions on the matter adopted by ANVUR.

Research project, up to a maximum of 12 points, distributed as follows on the basis of the scientific depth of the project and the research aptitude expressed therein.
The project evaluation will be carried out taking into account:
1) with regard to scientific depth: relevance to the macro-topics indicated in the call for proposals, the relevance of the theme, the completeness and systematicity of the approach, the value and consistency of the project, its concrete feasibility over the three-year period and the interdisciplinary approach;
2) with regard to research aptitude: the originality of the identified topic and the methodological approach of the proposed investigation, and possible future developments of the research based on the breadth and relevance of the topics covered.
The score is distributed as follows:
insufficient 0 points
1 point is sufficient
more than enough 2 points
decent 3 points
more than decent 4 points
almost good 5 points
good 6 points
more than good 7 points
almost distinct 8 points
9 points distinguished
almost excellent 10 points
excellent 11 points
excellent 12 points

The overall evaluation of the qualifications, out of thirtieths, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment in relation to the above criteria.

The written test will be held in person at the Department of Law of the University of Perugia.

Evaluation of the written test

The evaluation is carried out according to the following criteria: knowledge of the subject matter and legal categories, clarity of exposition, ability to summarise, argue, and connect with interdisciplinary profiles, according to the following score:
The score is distributed as follows:
insufficient: up to 17 points
18 points sufficient
more than enough 19 points
acceptable 20 points
decent 21 points
more than decent 22 points
almost good 23 points
good 24 points
more than good 25 points
almost distinct 26 points

distinguished 27 points
almost excellent 28 points
excellent 29 points
excellent 30 points.

Minimum score required to pass any written test: 18/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandiavvisi-e-modulistica.
Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the written test will not be admitted to the interview.
The interview will focus on the topics covered in the doctoral curriculum and will also assess the candidate's aptitude for research, scientific interests, and willingness to undertake research experiences abroad. To this end, the candidate is required to develop a research project proposal, which must be submitted with the application and will be explained during the interview.
The interview will take place in person.

At the candidate's request and based on their reasons, which the Examination Committee will evaluate on a case-by-case basis, deeming them appropriate or not, the interview may be held via videoconference, in the virtual room that will be set up by the Committee and indicated to the candidate.
The interview will be held, at the candidate's discretion, in Italian or English.
For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English, Spanish, French, German, or Portuguese.
Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 18/30

Before the written exam, the list of candidates admitted to the exam will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisie-modulistica.
Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the evaluation of qualifications will not be admitted to the written test.
Minimum score to pass the interview: 18/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 54/90

Interview topics: It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Specific topics on which the interview will focus: The interview will focus on the research project presented by the candidate and on the in-depth analysis of related topics, based on the research areas of the curricula into which the doctorate is divided, as follows: 1) INTERNAL AND SUPRANATIONAL PUBLIC LAW - Public Law - Civil and Criminal Procedural Law - International and European Union Law 2) PERSON, MARKET AND NEW TECHNOLOGIES - Human Rights - Commercial and Labour Law - Law of New Technologies 3) THEORY, HISTORY AND COMPARISON OF LAW - History of Law - Philosophy and Sociology of Law - Comparative Law

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The overall evaluation of the interview, out of thirty, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

The evaluation will be conducted according to the following criteria: knowledge of the subject matter of the project proposal as well as the themes and profiles related to the macro-topics indicated in the call for applications and in any case related to the research project; clarity of presentation; ability to critically elaborate the project proposal; and the candidate's scientific interests, according to the following scores:
The score is distributed as follows:
insufficient: up to 17 points
18 points sufficient
more than enough 19 points
acceptable 20 points
decent 21 points
more than decent 22 points
almost good 23 points
good 24 points
more than good 25 points
almost distinct 26 points
distinguished 27 points
almost excellent 28 points
excellent 29 points
excellent 30 points

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores for candidates competing with an entrance qualification obtained from foreign universities

University education program, up to a maximum of 8 points, depending on the quality, structure, and duration of the program.

Further training courses and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 4 points, recognizing:
- for professional experience in the relevant teaching field: up to 1 point.
Points will be assigned to the different types of experiences according to the following criteria:
- University or higher education teaching positions duly documented: 1 point
- Duly proven university tutoring: 0.5 points
- Any other professional experience in the field of university teaching or related higher education provided that it is accompanied by a duly proven contractual formalization: 0.5
- for professional experience in the field of relevant scientific research: up to 1 point.
Points will be assigned to the different types of experiences, provided they are duly proven, according to the following criteria:
- Research grant: 1 point;
- Participation in international conferences following selection procedures: 1 point;
- Postgraduate scholarships awarded following selective procedures: 1 point.
- for each additional relevant training course: 1 point.
Points will be assigned to the different types of experiences, provided they are duly proven, according to the following criteria:
- Diploma from a specialized school for legal professions: 1 point;
- Level II Master: 1 point;
- Advanced training or specialization course: 1 point;
- First level Master's degree or university specialization course: 0.5 points;
- Selective training internships at public bodies and institutions: 0.5 points.

Publications up to a maximum of 6 points (up to a maximum of 2 points for each publication) recognizing:

- for each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 2 points;
- for each publication of more than good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1.5 points
- for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1 point;
- for each publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0.5 points;
- for each publication of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0 points.
The evaluation of the quality of publications will be carried out taking into account:
1) with regard to scientific depth: the coherence of the approach and the correctness of the logical-legal method employed, the innovative nature of the topics addressed, the clarity of the exposition and the identification of the objectives;
2) with regard to editorial relevance: the national or international standing of the publishing house, the proven presence of scientific evaluation procedures, the possible presence of the ISSN code (if periodical) or ISBN (if monograph), the category of the periodical journal and its scientific nature according to the most recent provisions on the matter adopted by ANVUR.

Research project, up to a maximum of 12 points, distributed as follows on the basis of the scientific depth of the project and the research aptitude expressed therein.
The project evaluation will be carried out taking into account:
1) with regard to scientific depth: relevance to the macro-topics indicated in the call for proposals, the relevance of the theme, the completeness and systematicity of the approach, the value and consistency of the project, its concrete feasibility over the three-year period and the interdisciplinary approach;
2) with regard to research aptitude: the originality of the identified topic and the methodological approach of the proposed investigation, and possible future developments of the research based on the breadth and relevance of the topics covered.
The score is distributed as follows:
insufficient 0 points
1 point is sufficient
more than enough 2 points
decent 3 points
more than decent 4 points
almost good 5 points
good 6 points
more than good 7 points
almost distinct 8 points
9 points distinguished
almost excellent 10 points
excellent 11 points
excellent 12 points

The overall evaluation of the qualifications, out of thirtieths, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment with reference to the above criteria.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 12/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 18/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 30/60

Interview topics: It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.

Specific topics on which the interview will focus: The interview will focus on the research project presented by the candidate and on the in-depth analysis of related topics, based on the research areas of the curricula into which the doctorate is divided, as follows: 1) INTERNAL AND SUPRANATIONAL PUBLIC LAW - Public Law - Civil and Criminal Procedural Law - International and European Union Law 2) PERSON, MARKET AND NEW TECHNOLOGIES - Human Rights - Commercial and Labour Law - Law of New Technologies 3) THEORY, HISTORY AND COMPARISON OF LAW - History of Law - Philosophy and Sociology of Law - Comparative Law

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

The interview will take place in person.

At the candidate's request and based on their reasons, which the Examination Committee will evaluate on a case-by-case basis, deeming them appropriate or not, the interview may be held via videoconference, in the virtual room that will be set up by the Committee and indicated to the candidate.

The interview will be held, at the candidate's discretion, in Italian or English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English, Spanish, French, German, or Portuguese.

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The overall evaluation of the interview, out of thirty, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

The evaluation will be conducted according to the following criteria: knowledge of the subject matter of the project proposal as well as the themes and profiles related to the macro-topics indicated in the call for applications and in any case related to the research project; clarity of presentation; ability to critically elaborate the project proposal; and the candidate's scientific interests, according to the following scores:
The score is distributed as follows:
insufficient: up to 17 points
18 points sufficient
more than enough 19 points
acceptable 20 points
decent 21 points
more than decent 22 points
almost good 23 points
good 24 points

more than good	25 points
almost distinct	26 points
distinguished	27 points
almost excellent	28 points
excellent	29 points
excellent	30 points

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

SCIENZE UMANE - *HUMAN SCIENCES*

Course code	**DR220**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**3**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
resumes	1) Philosophical 2) Pedagogical and psychological 3) Anthropological-linguistic
Research project	**YES mandatory:** Indicate : "Project title; Abstract; State of the art with relevant bibliography; Research objectives; Research questions/hypotheses; Research methodology and - if appropriate - data analysis; Timeline; Originality and relevance; Bibliography; NB: Read the announcement carefully; keep the length between 3 and 5 pages; Define the research project according to the discipline/area/disciplinary research segment, considering that the PhD program is a single program but divided into three curricula. For further information, please consult the Fissuf Department website.
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Professor Claudia Mazzeschi

<u>Degrees required for admission</u>

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree** pursuant to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

VALUATION OF SECURITIES

University education, up to a maximum of 15 points, recognizing:

a) To the degree grade up to a maximum of 8 points, as assigned below:
- Grade 110/110 with honors: 8 points
- Score of 110/110: 7 points
- Score of 109/110: 6 points
- Score equal to 108/110: 5 points
- Score of 107/110: 4 points
- Score of 106/110: 3 points
- Score from 104/110 to 105/110: 2 points
- Score from 100/110 to 103/110: 1 point
- Score lower than 100/110: 0 points

For candidates who earn the qualification required for admission by September 2024, for whom the degree grade cannot be assessed, the Commission will evaluate the average grade of the exams taken, awarding up to a maximum of 7 points, according to the degree grade scheme previously reported; to this end, the average grade, multiplied by 110 and divided by 30, is rounded to the nearest whole number.

b) Degree Thesis, up to a maximum of 7 points for each relevant Degree Thesis, recognizing:

- for a thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth, 7 points;
- for a good quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth, 4 points;
- for a degree thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 2 points.

For candidates who obtain the qualification required for admission by September 2026, the thesis will be evaluated according to the above criteria, only if produced in a final version with the Supervisor's signature.

c) Further training courses and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 3 points for further relevant training courses and professional and research experiences, recognizing:

- for any professional experience in the teaching field (tutoring, teaching support activities) if relevant: 1 point;
- for any professional experience in the field of scientific research (research grant, scholarships for research projects, research collaboration, participation in research groups,

presentation of contributions at relevant conferences or seminars, report or poster at relevant conferences or seminars) if relevant: 1 point;
• for each further training course (relevant second level master's degree, relevant specialization, relevant qualification, participation in relevant conferences): 1 point

d) Publications, up to a maximum of 2 points for relevant publications, recognizing:

• for each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 2 points;
• for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1 point;
• for each publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 0.5 points.

e) Research project, which can be written in Italian or in one of the official EU languages (English or French) up to a maximum of 10 points, recognizing, in relation to the specific research topic covered by the grant for which the application has been submitted:

• for a research project of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 10 points;
• for a good quality research project, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, 5 points;
• for a research project of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, 2 points.)
The overall evaluation of the qualifications, out of thirtieths, is accompanied by a reasoned judgment.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 15/30

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 36/60

Interview topics: It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.

Specific topics covered during the interview: The interview will also assess the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake research experiences abroad, and scientific interests, including the curriculum vitae chosen in the application.
The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview method: remote

Remote interview link: The link will be published on the Fissuf Department page.

Language of the interview: Italian

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English or French (at the candidate's choice).

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

the maximum score of **30 points** and that the interview will be considered passed with a score of at least 21 points, identifies the following evaluation criteria:
- Between 30 and 28 points Excellent
- Between 27 and 25 Good
- Between 24 and 22 points Fair
- Between 21 and 18 points Sufficient
- Less than 18 points Insufficient

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

SISTEMA TERRA E CAMBIAMENTI GLOBALI - *EARTH SYSTEM AND GLOBAL CHANGE*

Course code	**DR221**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**8**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
With scholarship from the Department of Physics and Geology funded by the SUPER-C project (Space and Universe Perugia Research Cluster)	**1 scholarship** for the topic "Decoding Planetary Terrains from Spectral Remote Sensing Data" - Supervisor Prof. Diego Perugini
With scholarship funded by the National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV)	**1 scholarship** for the theme "Monitoring and quantification of CO_2 fluxes at Campi Flegrei through the integration of observations and models of gas dispersion in the atmosphere". Contact Prof. Carlo Cardellini
With scholarship funded by the REALISE MSCA Doctoral Network Project (including optional Family Allowance *)	**3 scholarships** for candidates selected externally by the REALISE MSCA Doctoral Network Project
resumes	**NO**
Research project	**YES mandatory -** The candidate is required to develop a research project proposal to be submitted together with the application to participate in the competition, which the candidate will illustrate during the interview. A list of topics, selected by the Teaching Board, on which research projects for the 42nd Cycle can be activated as a priority is published on the Doctorate website. The research project must be divided into four sections: State of the Art, Objectives, Methodologies, Impact, each of which must not exceed 2000 characters (including spaces).

Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally drafted. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content.
Coordinator	Prof. Cherin Marco

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree** pursuant to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores:

The evaluation of the titles will concern:
1. The university education path.
2. Any additional educational background, professional experience, or research experience.
3. Any scientific publications.
We recommend attaching and/or declaring all qualifications obtained, complete with all information useful for the evaluation, including, for training courses, individual grades.

TITLES will be evaluated as follows:

University education: up to a maximum of 11 points, awarded as follows:
a. To the degree grade, up to a maximum of 8 points, as assigned below:
- 110/110 with honors: 8 points;
- between 109/100 and 110/110: 7 points;
- between 106/110 and 108/110: 6 points;
- between 103/110 and 105/110: 5 points;
- between 100/110 and 102/110: 4 points;
- between 97/110 and 99/110: 3 points;
- between 94/110 and 96/110: 2 points;
- between 90/110 and 93/110: 1 point;
- below 90/110: 0.5 points.

For candidates whose degree grade cannot be assessed (registration for the competition with reservation), the Commission will assess the average grade of the exams taken:
- average ≥29: 8 points;
- average ≥28: 6 points;
- average ≥27: 5 points;
- average ≥26: 3 points;
- average ≥25: 2 points;
- average < 25: 0 points.

b. Master's Thesis (if submitted): up to 3 points, awarded based on scientific quality and relevance to the doctoral topics:
- excellent: 3 points;
- good: 2 points;
- sufficient: 1 point.

Additional relevant educational backgrounds and professional and research experiences: up to a maximum of 2 points, awarded as follows:
- 0.5 points for each training/internship period (at least 30 consecutive days) at qualified institutions in Italy or abroad and for each teaching experience (tutoring or teaching support activity);
-1 point for each research experience (research grants, scholarships, or contracts lasting at least six months) and for each additional training course (second-level master's degree, specialization diploma, or professional qualification);
- 0.2 points for each participation in conferences, schools or workshops.

Relevant publications: up to a maximum of 2 points, awarded based on originality, methodological rigor, the candidate's contribution, and relevance to the doctoral topics:
- up to 2 points for each publication in a Scopus/WoS indexed journal;
- up to 0.5 points for each non-indexed publication.

The evaluation will also include the development of a RESEARCH PROJECT of the candidate's choice, lasting three years, aimed exclusively at evaluating the candidate, on the topics of the doctorate and consistent with his or her educational objectives.

The RESEARCH PROJECT will be evaluated up to a maximum of 15 points, based on its scientific depth, originality, innovation, and relevance to the PhD program topics:
- excellent: 15 points;
- excellent: 14 points;
- very good: 12 points;
- good: 10 points;
- fair: 8 points;
- sufficient: 6 points;
- insufficient: 0 points.

The evaluation of the qualifications is expressed in thirtieths and is accompanied by a reasoned opinion.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 12/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 33/60

Specific topics covered by the interview: The interview will focus on the scientific topics specific to the doctoral program. During the interview, the candidate will present the research project proposal submitted with the application for selection purposes, so that their qualifications can be assessed.

The interview will also assess the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake research experiences abroad, and scientific interests.

Interview procedure: in person.

The Commission will evaluate candidates' requests for videoconference interviews based on the reasons provided. The link for the remote interview, if applicable, will be provided along with the interview diary.

Interview language: The candidate may choose to interview in English; if the interview is held in Italian, English language proficiency will be assessed.

Interview Evaluation Criteria:

The rating is expressed in thirtieths according to the following scale:

- excellent: 30 points;
- excellent: 29 points;
- very distinct: 28 points;
- distinct: 27 points;
- almost distinct: 26 points;
- more than good: 25 points;
- good: 24 points;
- almost good: 23 points;
- more than sufficient: 22 points;
- sufficient: 21 points;
- not sufficient: < 21 points.

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.

STORIA, ARTI E LINGUAGGI NELL'EUROPA ANTICA E MODERNA - *HISTORY, ARTS, AND LANGUAGES IN ANCIENT AND MODERN EUROPE*

Course code	**DR222**
Duration	**3 years**
Positions	**3**
Of which	
With scholarship reserved to graduates of foreign universities	**1**
With University Scholarship	**2**
resumes	1. History and civilization of the ancient world 2. History and art from the Middle Ages to the contemporary world 3. Modern and Comparative Literatures
Research project	**YES mandatory** (no specific format for the editorial staff)
Declaration to be made for the verification of the use of artificial intelligence tools Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application	The candidate declares that the research project and any papers submitted for selection were personally written. The use of generative artificial intelligence tools was limited to editorial support activities and did not replace independent scientific development of the content. Please note that the University has an anti-plagiarism and AI detector application.
Coordinator	Prof. Stefano Brufani

Degrees required for admission

Specialist degree pursuant to Ministerial Decree 509/1999, **Master's degree** pursuant to Ministerial Decree 270/2004, **Degree** according to the system prior to the entry into force of Ministerial Decree 509/1999: **ALL**

Selection procedure: Evaluation of qualifications and interview (out of sixty: 30+ 30)

Criteria for evaluating qualifications and tests, including the criteria for assigning evaluation scores: "•

Evaluation of qualifications: distribution of scores according to educational background, relevant experience, publications, and research project, according to the following details:
University education, up to a maximum of 15 points, recognizing:
a) to the degree grade, up to a maximum of 8 points, as assigned below:
- Grade 110/110 with honors: 8 points;
- Score of 110/110: 7 points;
- Score equal to 109/110: 6 points;
- Score equal to 108/110: 5 points;
- Score equal to 107/110: 4 points;
- Score equal to 106/110: 3 points;
- Score from 104/110 to 105/110: 2 points;
- Score from 100/110 to 103/110: 1 point;
- Grade lower than 100/110: 0 points;
b) to the degree thesis, up to a maximum of 7 points recognizing:
- for a thesis of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth: 7 points;
- for a good quality thesis, in terms of scientific depth, 4 points;
- for a thesis of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth, 2 points.
Additional relevant training and professional and research experiences, up to a maximum of 3 points, recognizing:
- for any relevant professional experience in the teaching field (tutoring, support teaching activities, supplementary teaching activities, teaching contracts in public or private universities): 0.5 points up to a maximum of 1 point;
- for any relevant professional experience in the field of scientific research (research grant, scholarships for research projects, participation in archaeological excavation campaigns with responsibility): 0.5 points up to a maximum of 1 point;
- for any additional training (relevant second-level master's degree, relevant specialization, relevant qualification, participation in relevant conferences as a speaker, archivist diploma obtained from state archives, double degree in Italian and foreign courses of study, additional degree beyond the one valid as the qualification for access to this procedure, awards and recognitions for relevant research activities): 0.5 points up to a maximum of 1 point.
Publications, up to a maximum of 3 points for relevant publications, recognizing :
- for each publication of excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 3 points;
- for each publication of good quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 2 points;
- for each publication of sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and editorial relevance, 1 point.
Research project, up to a maximum of 9 points, recognizing:
- for a research project of outstanding, excellent, or excellent quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, from 7 to 9 points;
- for a research project of fair, good, or very good quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, from 4 to 6 points;
- For a research project of just sufficient, sufficient or more than sufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and aptitude for research, from 1 to 3 points;
- For a research project of insufficient quality, in terms of scientific depth and research aptitude, or irrelevant, 0 points.

Minimum score required in the evaluation of qualifications: 18/30

Before the interview, the list of candidates admitted to the interview will be published on the University website.

Candidates who do not achieve the aforementioned minimum score in the qualifications assessment will not be admitted to the interview.

Minimum score to pass the interview: 21/30

Minimum overall score from which candidates are deemed suitable: 39/60

Interview topics: It will focus on the topics of the curricula that the doctorate is structured into.

The interview will also be aimed at verifying the candidate's aptitude for research, willingness to undertake experiences abroad and scientific interests.

Interview procedure: in person

The interview may, at the candidate's discretion, be held in English.

For interviews held in Italian, knowledge of one of the following languages will be assessed: English

Interview Evaluation Criteria: (up to 30 points):

Mastery of the topics of the chosen curriculum: up to 9 points.
Scientific quality, originality, and sustainability of the submitted research project: up to 9 points.
Research aptitude, critical and methodological skills, and autonomy in research design: up to 6 points.
Motivation for the doctoral program, consistency of scientific interests, and willingness to undertake training and research periods abroad: up to 3 points.
Knowledge of the English language (for interviews conducted in Italian): up to 3 points.

Interview diary :

Starting **July 28, 2026 A notice** will be published on the University website at https://www.unipg.it/didattica/percorsi-post-laurea/dottorati-di-ricerca/bandi-avvisi-e-modulistica and on the University's online noticeboard. This **notice** will communicate **the dates and times of the call,** as well as any other communications regarding this selection process. This notice serves as a formal call **, and no personal communications will be sent.**

Failure to appear for the selection tests (or failure to connect on the day and at the time of the interview in the case of online interviews) will constitute an implicit waiver of participation in the selection process, regardless of the reason.